VHE Gamma Ray Astronomy with the



J Knapp, U of Leeds, UK Ooty, Dec 2010



- The non-thermal universe
- Detecting VHE γ rays
- Science Case
- CTA

with many thanks to J Hinton, W Hofmann, R White for informations and slides



radío (10⁻⁶ eV)



Infrared $(10^{-2} eV)$



visible (ev)



X-ray (kev)



gamma rays (Gev)

















Source Numbers



Year





y rays and cosmic Ray background



The Early Days



February 21, 1953 NATURE

Light Pulses from the Night Sky associated with Cosmic Rays

IN 1948, Blackett¹ suggested that a contribution approximately 10^{-4} of the mean light of the night-sky might be expected from Čerenkov radiation² produced in the atmosphere by the cosmic radiation. The purpose of this communication is to report the results of some preliminary experiments we have made using a photomultiplier, which revealed the

.....

thank Mr. W. J. Whitehouse and Dr. E. Bretscher for their encouragement, and Dr. T. E. Cranshaw for the use of the extensive shower array.









1989: Detection of the Crab Nebula

50 sígnal ín 50 h, wíth 159 píxel camera and Híllas ímage analysís.

10 m Whipple Telescope



HESS, Namíbía detects Crab ín 30 seconds 1% Crab ín 25 h

4 x 12m telescopes 5° FOV, 0.16° 960 píxels



VERITAS





MAGIC



TACTIC

Current IACTS

HESS /



CANGAROO-III/



Gamma Ray Sources

a supernova remnant shell

From particles to radiation



VHE gamma rays

From particles to radiation



Tev Astronomy Highlights

Mícroquasars:	<u>Science</u> 309 (2005) 746
	<u>Science</u> 312 (2006) 1771
Pulsars:	<u>Science</u> 322 (2008) 1221
Supernova remnants:	Nature 432 (2004) 75
Galactic Centre:	Nature 439 (2006) 695
Galactíc Survey:	<u>Science</u> 307 (2005) 1839
Starbursts:	Nature 462 (2009) 770
	<u>Science</u> 326 (2009) 1080
Active Galactic Nuclei:	<u>Science</u> 314 (2006) 1424
	<u>Science</u> 325 (2009) 444
EBL:	Nature 440 (2006) 1018
	<u>Science</u> 320 (2008) 752
Dark Matter:	Phys Rev Letters 96 (2006) 221102
Lorentz Invariance:	Phys Rev Letters 101 (2008) 170402
Cosmíc Ray Electrons:	Phys Rev Letters (2009)

Results from HESS, MAGIC and VERITAS

How to do even better?

A future observatory needs:

for E > TeV:

bigger collection area (i.e. large array of telescopes, wider FOV)

for E < TeV:

better background rejection (i.e. large array of telescopes, wider FOV for multiple shower images)





... an advanced facility for ground-based gamma-ray astronomy

Scientific Objectives:

Cosmic energetic particles Origin of the galactic cosmic rays Also UHECR signatures Role of ultra-relativistic particles in in clusters of galaxies, AGN, Starbursts... The physics of (relativistic) jets and shocks

Fundamental Physics

Dark Matter annihilation / decay Lorentz Invariance violation

Cosmology cosmíc FIR-UV radiation, cosmíc magnetism





SNRS



Wish list for CTA:

- Higher sensitivity at Tev energies (x 10) more sources, details in extended sources

- Lower threshold (some 10 GeV) pulsars, dístant AGN, source mechanísms
- Higher energy reach (Pev and beyond) cutoff region of Galactic accelerators
- Wider field of view extended sources, surveys
- Improved angular resolution structure of extended sources
- Hígher detection rates transient phenomena

Very Good reviews for CTA: ASPER

ASTROPARTICLE PHYSICS

the European strategy

ASTRONET:

ESFRI:

European Strategy Forum on Research Infrastructures ESFRI

> EUROPEAN ROADMAP FOR RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURES

The ASTRONET Infrastructure Roadmap: A Strategic Plan for European Astron



The ASTRONET Infrastructure Roadmap:

ASTRONET

A Strategic Plan for European Astronomy





Single telescope



Single telescope



Single telescope

o o sweet spot o o



Single telescope

o sweet spot	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	



←300 m —

Síngle telescope

o sweet spot	0		0		0	
0	0		0		0	
		0		0		
0	0		0		0	
		0		0		
0	0		0		0	



Core array: mCrab sensitivity ín 0.1–10 TeV range

Not to scale !



Low-energy section energy threshold of some 10 GeV (a) bigger dishes or

Not to scale !



Low-energy section energy threshold of some 10 GeV (a) bigger dishes or (b) dense packing / high-QE sensors







High-energy section 10 km² area at multí-TeV energíes









Not to scale !

The Cherenkov Telescope Array

• A factor 10 more sensitive than current instruments

- Plus much wider energy coverage, substantially better angular and energy resolution & wider field of view
- A ~ € 150M International Project
 - Design 2008-2011, Prototyping 2011-13, Construction 2013-18
 - Baselíne: 50-100 Cherenkov telescopes



The Cherenkov Telescope Array

• A factor 10 more sensitive than current instruments

- Plus much wider energy coverage, substantially better angular and energy resolution & wider field of view
- A ~ € 150M International Project
 - Design 2008-2011, Prototyping 2011-13, Construction 2013-18
 - Baseline: 50-100 Cherenkov telescopes

€ 5.2M EU funding



What is the best instrument for this money? Science /€

Optimise performance (within budget), (parameters: telescope size, type, pixel size, Fov, array layout) design for mass production, long-term operation and low maintenance i.e. cheap, reliable, modular...

A real observatory with ≈ 100 telescopes.

Low-energy section energy threshold of 20-30 Gev ~24m telescopes

Medíum Energíes: mCrab sensítívíty 0.1–10 TeV 12m telescopes (+9m SC optíon) (South Only)

High-energy section 10 km² area at multí-Tev energíes ~5m telescopes

A real observatory with ≈ 100 telescopes.

Low-energy section energy threshold of 20-30 GeV ~24m telescopes

£25M

Medíum Energíes: mCrab sensítívíty 0.1–10 TeV 12m telesco

£35M

High-energy section 10 km² area at multí-Tev en

£20M

(South Only)

CTA observation modes

very deep field deep field

deep field

monitoring

survey mode



One observatory with two sites - operated by one consortium

Mainly

science

extragalactic

Galactic and

extragalactic

science

Selection of sites by 2012 10 km² (S) flat area 1.5-4.0 km altitude, minimum cloud cover, easiest access, ...

On Símulations ...

 γ ray símulations are straight forward:

- energies are relatively low (i.e. sims are fast)
- γ ray showers can be simulated well (QED)
- hadronic background can be measured (i.e. no urgent need for sims of p, He, ...)

handshake between CORSIKA and detector simulations already $\sim 10^9$ showers simulated

y rays and cosmic Ray background





Examples of subarrays

(of same cost)



main trade-off: quantity vs quality of events

Point Source Sensitivity





Threshold:

itegral Sensitivity (erg cm

S-1)

N

límíted by number of Ch. photons collected

- larger telescopes,
- dense packing of tels.
- better photo detectors

Medíum region: límíted by sígnal / BG

- better BG rejection,
- improved ang. resolution,

RESES

в

- better photon statistics

High energies: limited by statistics

- large array

IIIIII IIIIIIII

В

Performance: angular and energy resolution



(fundamental límít: ~ 10")

Angular Resolution



$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Perfor	mance	•		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Energy TeV	Area km ²	Ang.Res	E.Res	FOV °
0.3 0.1 4 13 6-8 3 1 2 8 7-9 30 3 1.5 7 8-10	0.03	0.003	12	30	4-5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.3	0.1	4	13	6-8
30 3 15 7 8-10	3	I	2	8	7-9
50 5 1.5 7 0-10	30	3	Ι.5	7	8-10

Improvement (relative to HESS):

Díffuse continuum:	≈x5
Angular resolution for point sources:	≈x2
Fov for surveys:	≈x2
Energy resolution for lines:	≈x1.5
all-sky survey for point-like emission line sources:	≈ x 30
pointed observation of a 0.5° continuum source:	≈x5

The Gamma Ray Horízon $\gamma + \gamma \longrightarrow e^+e^-$



Science Potential

adapted from Horan & Weekes 2003



Current instruments are sensitive enough to reveal a rich panorama, but this is clearly only the tip of the iceberg
Broad and diverse program for CTA, combining guaranteed astrophysics with significant discovery potential





HESS ~500 h



CTA expectation: 1000 sources





HESS I: ~1.5 M€/tel HESS II: ~11 M€/tel MAGIC: ~5 M€/tel This would exceed target cost by 1.5-2 x We need to be cheaper !!!

Instrument reliability to be increased by > 10x for high data quality and to limit operating costs

We believe we can build even better telescopes wider field of view, better resolution, improved photo sensors § electronics

Lacking tools to operate a user facility and to handle data Observation scheduling & system control Science data centre and data access tools









CTA as an open observatory



The Preparatory Phase

Preparing for CTA

Organisation (Governance, Finance, Legal...)

Construction (Finalise Design/Implementation plans, Site selection/development) Operation (Observatory, Data, ...)

Technical work

Science-based optimisation of the observatory, detailed design work, layout, hardware options, mechanical and electronic engineering

Monte-Carlo símulations, data analysis development, physics/astrophysics studies





CTA Members: 25 Countries

>700 scientists and engineers from >100 insitutions



Argentína, Armenía, Austría, Brazíl, Bulgaría, Czech Republic, Croatía, Finnland, France, Germany, Greece, Indía, Italy, Ireland, Japan, Namíbía, Netherlands, Poland, Slovenía, Spaín, South Afríca, Sweden, Swítzerland, ИК, USA

conclusions

CTA is the global next generation project ... a precise and sensitive probe of the extreme universe

It has a huge potential for extreme astronomy and fundamental physics with TeV photons





general ínfo: www.cta-observatory.org

arXív:1008.3703

120 pages

Design Concepts for the Cherenkov Telescope Array CTA

An Advanced Facility for Ground-Based High-Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy

The CTA Consortium

May 2010

