# GEANT4 Simulations of the LAXPC Detectors

H. M. Antia



Integrated Astrosat satellite at ISAC, Bangalore (21 May 2015) GEANT4 simulations of LAXPC detector were used to estimate

- Field of view of detector
- Efficiency and effective area of the detector
- Efficiency of background rejection
- Resolution and channel No. as a function of energy by fitting observed spectra for radioactive sources
- Response matrix of the detector

- LAXPC payload consists of 3 large area X-ray proportional counters
- Detector size:  $100 \times 39 \times 16.5$  cm filled with a mixture of Xenon (90%) and Methane (10%) at a pressure of 2 atmospheres.
- Top of the detector is covered by a  $50~\mu{\rm m}$  thick Mylar window
- Above the Mylar window there is a window support collimator of height 7.5 cm and the field of view collimator of height 37 cm. These collimators have mesh with a pitch of 7 mm.
- Simulations use a volume of  $120\times 60\times 80~{\rm cm}$  enclosing the entire detector.



- Window support collimator is made of aluminium sheets
- The field of view collimator is made of tin sheet sandwiched between copper and aluminium sheets using epoxy
- Five sides of the detector are covered by Tin shield of thickness 1 mm, coated with Copper (50  $\mu$ )
- Each layer has 12 anode cells of size  $100 \times 3 \times 3$  cm



Main Anodes : A1–A7 in 5 layers Veto Anodes : A8, A9, A10 on 3 sides No Veto Anodes on two small sides  $(39 \times 16.5 \text{ cm})$ Mylar and collimator on the top side

- GEANT4 simulation of  $10^6$  photons with fixed energy.
- Initial Photon trajectory is normal to detector top (except for FOV and background part)
- Uniformly distributed over detector area.
- Simulations with and without the collimators (and shield) are done.

Collimator is required for FOV, effective area and background calculations.

• For background simulation the flux is assumed to be uniform and isotropic and energy uniformly distributed in a specified interval. To reject background events the following logic is implemented which is consistent with the processing electronics:

- Any event that is recorded in veto-anodes (A8–A10)
- Any event that deposits more than an upper limit (80 keV) in any anode
- Any event that is recorded in more than 2 main anodes (A1–A7)
- If an event is recorded in two main anodes, then it is accepted only if at least one of the energy is in K-threshold for Xe  $(30\pm4.5 \text{ keV})$ . If the event is accepted the energies in two anodes are added and it is recorded as a single event of combined energy. Such events can exceed the upper limit of 80 keV.

#### Calibration of the Field of View



15 keV: FWHM =  $43' = 0.72^{\circ}$  50 keV: FWHM =  $47' = 0.78^{\circ}$ 



#### **Detector Efficiency**



### **Effective Area**

- Geometric area =  $3 \times 100 \times 36 = 10800 \text{ cm}^2$
- Collimator blocks about 20% of area
- Detector efficiency and imperfections in collimator reduce it further to about 8000 cm<sup>2</sup> at 10–15 keV.
- At lower limit of 3 keV, effective area  $pprox 2000~{
  m cm}^2$
- At upper limit of 80 keV, effective area  $\approx 2400~{\rm cm}^2$
- Beyond 80 keV events can still register because finite resolution K-escape peak at  $E-30~{\rm keV}$  double events





## Simulation of background

- $10^6$  particles uniformly distributed over entire surface area  $120 \times 60 \times 80$  cm and in  $2\pi$  solid angle about  $233333 \pm 1177$  particle reach active detector volume  $100 \times 39 \times 16.5$  cm
- Following factors contribute to background rejection
  - 1. The Shield
  - 2. The Detector efficiency
  - 3. Coincidence
  - 4. Veto layers
  - 5. Energy deposited > 80 keV
- Simulation were done with and without veto-anode A10 as in LX10 detector A10 has been disabled.









• Background from cosmic diffuse X-ray background

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = 87.4 \ E^{-2.3} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{keV}^{-1} \mathrm{steradian}^{-1}$$

gives background 165 s<sup>-1</sup> in 1 detector







### **Detector Response for Radioactive Sources**

- Three radioactive sources were used for calibration.
   For Fe<sup>55</sup> energy of 5.96 keV
   For Cd<sup>109</sup> energies of 22.1 keV (54.5%), 21.9 keV (28.8%), 24.9 keV (16.7%)
   For Am<sup>241</sup> energy of 59.6 keV
- For each source, energy deposited in each cell (60 main anodes and 3 veto anodes) during each event is recorded.
- To account for finite resolution, a random number with Normal distribution with 0 mean and  $\sigma = E_p \sigma_i$  is added.

Effective energy in all cells in an anode are added.
 For LX10: For C1, 0.95E<sub>p</sub> and for C12, 1.05E<sub>p</sub> was used.
 For A4 C1, 0.74E<sub>p</sub> was used.
 A10 is disabled.

For LX20, LX30: For C1 and C12,  $0.95E_p$  is used

• Rejection and K-escape logic as used in PE is applied and total energy in each anode is converted to channel No.

$$n_c = e_1 E_p (1 + e_2 E_p)$$

- The simulated spectrum is compared with observed spectrum after subtracting the background. For normalisation the simulated spectrum is multiplied by a constant to match the total counts under one peak.
- To adjust the density of gas the square of relative difference in total counts for each anode layer for Cd<sup>107</sup> is minimised

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} \left( \frac{O_i - S_i}{O_i} \right)^2$$

This corrects for difference in temperature or pressure



- Since the peak position in simulation may not exactly match that in observed spectra for each anode, while comparing a small shift by a few channels in simulated spectra is made to get best match.
- Parameters to be determined :  $e_1, e_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$  $e_1, e_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4$  are determined by best fit to Am<sup>241</sup> spectrum
  - $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  are determined by best fit to Fe<sup>55</sup> and Cd<sup>109</sup> respectively, keeping  $e_1, e_2$  fixed.
- Finally the channel to energy mapping is determined by fitting

$$n_c = e_0 + e_1 E_p (1 + e_2 E_p)$$

Here, only  $e_0, e_1$  are fitted as  $e_2$  is determined earlier.







The resolution as a function of energy is determined by fitting a linear spline with 3 knots to  $\sigma^2(E^{-1})$ 





LX 30, 20C













LX30 Fe<sup>55</sup> at 20 °C (CQ1->CQ3 in 2 hrs)



Counts

LX30 Fe<sup>55</sup> at 20 °C (CQ1->CQ3 in 2 hrs)



Counts













• To calculate detector response for other energies we need to get  $\sigma(E,T), n_c(E,T)$ 







- For Crab X-ray source, the simulated spectrum calculated when the input spectrum of  $N(E) = N_0 E^{-2.1}$
- The expected count rate is about 6000 s<sup>-1</sup> in each detector. At this rate the dead-time of the system will reduce the count rate by about 25%.

Net count rate is expected to be about 13000 s<sup>-1</sup>.

• For 1 mCrab source in 100 s the detection is at level of  $8\sigma$  in total counts.

If counts in 3–20 keV are used it improves to  $16\sigma.$  is shown in Fig.











Counts: 0.6 s<sup>-1</sup>,  $3.7\sigma$  detection in 1000 s (3–20 keV)



## Summary

- Field of view: 43' at 15 keV, 47' at 50 keV
- Effective area: 0.8  $m^2$  at 10–20 keV, 0.24  $m^2$  at 80 keV
- For LX30 in lab background increased by 10% when A10 was disabled
- Simulated spectra for all 3 radioactive sources match the observed spectra
- Using the resolution and channel number as a function of energy the detector response matrix has been generated.
- Using the Fourier Transform of data at high count rate it is possible to estimate the dead-time of the detector
- For Crab source about 13000 s<sup>-1</sup> is expected.
- 1mCrab source can be detected with 100 s observation.