

# A model approach to thermodynamics of Strong Interactions

Rajarshi Ray

Bose Institute, Kolkata

Workshop on QCD in the Nonperturbative Regime, TIFR, 18-20 Nov 2019

## **Thermalization in HIC**



Thermal model:

 $Z(T,\mu_B,\mu_I,\mu_S) = \sum_i Z_i(T,\mu_B,\mu_I,\mu_S) \Rightarrow n_i(T,\mu_B,\mu_I,\mu_S)$ 

J. Cleymans and K. Redlich: Phys. Rev. Lett 81 (1998) 5284

P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich and J. Stachel:

Quark Gluon Plasma 3, R.C. Hwa and X.-N. Wang, ed., (World Scientific) (nucl-th/0304013)



QCD

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD}^{E} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{a} F_{\mu\nu}^{a} + \sum_{f=1}^{N_{f}} \bar{q}_{f} \left( \gamma_{\mu}^{E} D_{\mu} + m_{f} - \mu_{f} \gamma_{0} \right) q_{f}$$

where,

$$F^{a}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G^{a}_{\mu} + gf^{abc}G^{b}_{\mu}G^{c}_{\nu}$$
  
$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igT^{a}G^{a}_{\mu} \qquad a = 1, 2, ...8.$$

 $T^a$  are SU(3) group generators and  $f^{abc}$  are SU(3) structure constants The QCD partition function is,

$$Z = \int DG^a_{\nu} Dq_f D\bar{q}_f \,\mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^\beta d\tau \int_{-\infty}^\infty d^3x \mathcal{L}^E_{QCD}}$$



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 - 20 Nov, 2019 - p. 3/41

## **Non-perturbative QCD**



- **Quarks sit on the lattice points** q(n)
- Gluons are on the links  $U_{\mu}(n) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ ig \int_{n}^{n+\hat{\mu}a} dy^{\sigma} G_{\sigma}^{a}(y) T^{a} \right]$
- $V = a^3 (N_x \times N_y \times N_z) \qquad \beta = a N_t$

• momentum cutoff  $\simeq \frac{1}{a}$   $a \to 0 \Rightarrow$  Continuum physics



## **Polyakov Nambu Jona-Lasinio Model :**

Ratti et.al. PRD <u>73</u> 014019 '06.

$$\mathcal{L}_{PNJL} = \bar{q} \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_0 + \mu \gamma^0 \right) q + \frac{\mathcal{G}}{2} \left[ \left( \bar{q}q \right)^2 + \left( \bar{q}i \gamma^5 \vec{\tau}q \right)^2 \right] - \mathcal{U} \left( \bar{\Phi}, \Phi, T \right)$$

where  $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igG_{\mu}$ , and  $G_{\mu} = \delta_{\mu 0}G_{0}$ 

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}\left(\Phi,\bar{\Phi},T\right)}{T^{4}} = -\frac{b_{2}\left(T\right)}{2}\bar{\Phi}\Phi - \frac{b_{3}}{6}\left(\Phi^{3}+\bar{\Phi}^{3}\right) + \frac{b_{4}}{4}\left(\bar{\Phi}\Phi\right)^{2}$$



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov, 2019 – p. 5/41

## (De)Confinement at $T \neq 0$



- Free energy of vacuum  $F_0 = -T \log Z$
- Free energy of a single quark  $F_q F_0 = -T \log \langle \operatorname{TrL}(\vec{x})/3 \rangle = -T \log \Phi$  L  $L(\vec{x}) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ -\int_0^{1/T} d\tau G_0(\vec{x}, \tau) \right]$ Wilson Line/Polyakov Loop **pbc**

τ

• 
$$\Phi(\vec{x}, \tau) = \begin{cases} \neq 0 \Rightarrow F_q \text{ finite } \Rightarrow \text{ deconfined} \\ = 0 \Rightarrow F_q \text{ infinite } \Rightarrow \text{ confined} \end{cases}$$

 $\blacksquare$  Use  $\Phi$  as OP for finite temperature phase transition.



pbc

- The gluons  $G_{\mu}$  are bosons and satisfy periodic boundary conditions in the Eucledian time and keep the QCD action invariant  $\Rightarrow G_{\mu}(\vec{x}, \tau = 0) = G_{\mu}(\vec{x}, \tau = 1/T)$
- The QCD action is however invariant under a somewhat more general condition

 $\Rightarrow G_{\mu}(\vec{x}, \tau = 0) = z \ G_{\mu}(\vec{x}, \tau = 1/T)$ with  $z \ \epsilon \ Z(3) = exp(i2\pi n/3), \ n = 0, 1, 2$ , the center group of SU(3).

But the Polyakov loop  $\Phi(\vec{x}, \tau)$  in not invariant
There seems to be a connection between deconfinement and spontaneous Z(3) symmetry breaking !!!



## **Polyakov Loop Model : (De)Confinement**



Choose some  $V(\Phi)$  as a polynomial, parametrized using Lattice: Lattice EOS: Scavenius et.al. PRC <u>66</u> 034903 '02.

$$\frac{U\left(\Phi,\Phi,T\right)}{T^{4}} = -\frac{b_{2}\left(T\right)}{2}\bar{\Phi}\Phi - \frac{b_{3}}{6}\left(\Phi^{3} + \bar{\Phi}^{3}\right) + \frac{b_{4}}{4}\left(\bar{\Phi}\Phi\right)^{2}$$

where,  $\Phi = \langle {\rm TrL} \rangle$  ;  $\bar{\Phi} = \langle {\rm TrL}^{\dagger} \rangle$ 



## **Global Chiral Symmetry**

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a + \sum_{f=u,d} \left[ i \,\bar{q}_f \gamma^\mu D_\mu q_f - m_f \bar{q}_f q_f \right]$$

Symmetries:  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_V \otimes SU(2)_A \otimes U(1)_B \otimes U(1)_A$ 

Fermionic

- $\square$   $U(1)_A$  broken by quantum anomalies.
- SU(2)<sub>V</sub> broken explicitly when flavour degeneracy is lifted e.g. proton and neutron mass splitting.
- SU(2)<sub>A</sub> broken explicitly for non-zero quark mass .... where are the chiral partners !!
  - $SU(2)_A$  broken spontaneously; pions are the Goldstone Bosons  $\rightarrow$  Measure is the chiral condensate  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ .

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = \begin{cases} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{symmetry broken} \\ = 0 \Rightarrow \text{symmetry restored} \end{cases}$$



## Nambu Jona-Lasinio Model : Chiral aspect

Lagrangian: (1961)

$$\mathcal{L}_{NJL} = \bar{q} \left( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_0 + \mu \gamma^0 \right) q + \frac{\mathcal{G}}{2} \left[ \left( \bar{q}q \right)^2 + \left( \bar{q}i \gamma^5 \vec{\tau}q \right)^2 \right]$$

- Symmetries:  $SU(2)_V \otimes SU(2)_A \otimes U(1)_B$ .
- Introducing auxillary field variables  $\sigma$  and  $\vec{\pi}$  an  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$  is obtained.
- The mean fields  $\langle \sigma \rangle = \mathcal{G} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$  and  $\langle \vec{\pi} \rangle = 0$  for  $\mu_I < m_{\pi}$ .
- Fit emperical values of  $m_{\pi}$ ,  $f_{\pi}$  and  $g_{\pi NN}$  (RMP <u>64</u> 649 '92). Obtain  $m_0 = 5.5 \,\text{MeV}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = 10.08 \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$ , cutoff  $\Lambda = 0.651 \,\text{GeV}$ .
- Thermodynamic properties studied with the thermodynamic potential  $\Omega[\sigma, T, \mu_q, \mu_I]$ , where  $\mu_q = \frac{\mu_u + \mu_d}{2}$ ;  $\mu_I = \frac{\mu_u - \mu_d}{2}$



## **Phase Diagram**



Rajagopal, Wilczek : The Condensed Matter Physics of QCD *Ch. 35, 'Handbook of QCD', M. Shifman, ed., (World Scientific) (hep-ph/0011333)*.



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov. 2019 – p. 11/41

## **Phase Diagram**



Rajagopal, Wilczek : The Condensed Matter Physics of QCD *Ch. 35, 'Handbook of QCD', M. Shifman, ed., (World Scientific) (hep-ph/0011333)*.



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov. 2019 – p. 11/41

## **PNJL Model : Confinement + Chiral aspects**

Lagrangian: Ratti et.al. PRD 73 014019 '06.

$$\mathcal{L}_{PNJL} = \bar{q} \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_0 + \mu \gamma^0 \right) q + \frac{\mathcal{G}}{2} \left[ \left( \bar{q}q \right)^2 + \left( \bar{q}i \gamma^5 \vec{\tau}q \right)^2 \right] \\ - U(\bar{\Phi}, \Phi)$$

where  $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igG_{\mu}$ , and  $G_{\mu} = \delta_{\mu 0}G_{0}$ 

- Introducing auxillary field variables  $\sigma$  and  $\vec{\pi}$  an  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}$  is obtained, with the replacement  $\exp\left[-G_0/T\right] \to \Phi$
- The mean fields  $\langle \sigma \rangle = \mathcal{G} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$  and  $\langle \vec{\pi} \rangle = 0$  for  $\mu_I < m_{\pi}$ .
- Thermodynamic properties studied with  $\Phi(T)$  and  $\sigma$  from the thermodynamic potential  $\Omega[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi, \sigma, T, \mu_q, \mu_I]$ , where  $\mu_q = \frac{\mu_u + \mu_d}{2}$ ;  $\mu_I = \frac{\mu_u \mu_d}{2}$



#### **PNJL Model: 2 flavors**

The thermodynamic potential: Ratti et.al. PRD 73 014019 '06.

$$\Omega = \mathcal{U}\left(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}, T\right) + 2G_1(\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_d^2) + 4G_2\sigma_u\sigma_d$$
  
- 
$$\sum_{f=u,d} 2T \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \ln\left[1 + 3\left(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f - \mu_f)/T}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f - \mu_f)/T} + \mathrm{e}^{-3(E_f - \mu_f)/T}\right] \right\}$$
  
+ 
$$\ln\left[1 + 3\left(\bar{\Phi} + \Phi\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f + \mu_f)/T}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f + \mu_f)/T} + \mathrm{e}^{-3(E_f + \mu_f)/T}\right] \right\}$$
  
- 
$$\sum_{f=u,d} 6 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} E_f \theta \left(\Lambda^2 - \vec{p}^{\,2}\right)$$

where,

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}\left(\Phi,\bar{\Phi},T\right)}{T^{4}} = -\frac{b_{2}\left(T\right)}{2}\bar{\Phi}\Phi - \frac{b_{3}}{6}\left(\Phi^{3}+\bar{\Phi}^{3}\right) + \frac{b_{4}}{4}\left(\bar{\Phi}\Phi\right)^{2}$$



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 - 20 Nov, 2019 - p. 13/41

- Introduce models incorporating the global symmetries of QCD
  - Aim is to develop physical insights
  - May be too simplified ...
    - Find out problems and keep improving



#### **Thermodynamics**



Lattice: CP-PACS PRD <u>64</u> 074510 '01. PNJL model: Ratti et.al. PRD <u>73</u> 014019 '06.



#### **Fluctuations**



Lattice: c<sub>2</sub> ~ c<sub>2</sub><sup>I</sup> ~ 80% SB limit ; c<sub>4</sub> ~ c<sub>4</sub><sup>I</sup> → SB limit
PNJL: c<sub>2</sub> ≠ c<sub>2</sub><sup>I</sup>, c<sub>4</sub> ≠ c<sub>4</sub><sup>I</sup>

 $c_2$  and  $c_4$  away from SB limit ;  $c_2^I$  and  $c_4^I \rightarrow$  SB limit

Lattice: Bielefeld PRD <u>71</u> 054508 '05 PNJL model: RR et.al. PRD <u>73</u> 114007 '06; PRD <u>77</u> 094015 '07.



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov. 2019 – p. 16/41

#### **PNJL + Van der Monde**

$$\Omega = \mathcal{U}\left(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}, T\right) + 2G_1(\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_d^2) + 4G_2\sigma_u\sigma_d$$
  

$$- \sum_{f=u,d} 2T \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \ln\left[1 + 3\left(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f - \mu_f)/T}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f - \mu_f)/T} + \mathrm{e}^{-3(E_f - \mu_f)/T}\right] \right\}$$
  

$$+ \ln\left[1 + 3\left(\bar{\Phi} + \Phi\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f + \mu_f)/T}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-(E_f + \mu_f)/T} + \mathrm{e}^{-3(E_f + \mu_f)/T}\right] \right\}$$
  

$$- \sum_{f=u,d} 6 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} E_f \theta \left(\Lambda^2 - \vec{p}^2\right)$$

where,

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}\left(\Phi,\bar{\Phi},T\right)}{T^{4}} = -\frac{b_{2}\left(T\right)}{2}\bar{\Phi}\Phi - \frac{b_{3}}{6}\left(\Phi^{3}+\bar{\Phi}^{3}\right) + \frac{b_{4}}{4}\left(\bar{\Phi}\Phi\right)^{2} +\kappa\ln[1-6\bar{\Phi}\Phi+4\left(\Phi^{3}+\bar{\Phi}^{3}\right)-3\left(\bar{\Phi}\Phi\right)^{2}]$$

PNJL model: RR et. al. PRD <u>77</u> 094024 '08.



#### Fluctuations – 2 flavors



Lattice: Bielefeld PRD <u>71</u> 054508 '05 PNJL model: RR et. al. PRD <u>77</u> 094024 '08.



#### **Baryon-Isospin correlation**



We consider the baryon-isospin correlator  $\chi^{BI} = \frac{1}{3}(\chi^{uu} - \chi^{dd})$ 

Conventional choice is baryon-charge correlator  $\chi^{BQ} = \frac{1}{9}(2\chi^{uu} - \chi^{dd} + \chi^{ud})$ 

- For isospin symmetric matter,  $m_u = m_d$ , and  $\chi^{BI} = 0 \neq \chi^{BQ}$
- Thus  $\chi^{BI}$  would be an excellent signal for  $m_u \neq m_d$  $\rightarrow$  Introduce  $m_1 = (m_u + m_d)/2$  and  $m_2 = (m_u - m_d)/2$ ;  $m_2 \ll m_1$ .
- At low  $T, m_2 \ll m_1$  and at high  $T, m_2 \ll T$ 
  - Surprise!! The correlation scales with  $m_2$  !!

PNJL model: RR et. al. PRC 89 064905 '14.



## **Isospin breaking** $T \neq 0$ , $\mu_B \neq 0$



- $\blacksquare$  We find  $m_2$  scaling is valid over the whole  $T \mu_B$  plane.
- **P** The scaling is useful to identify  $m_2$  from experiments
- Sign of  $\chi_{11}^{BI}$  is useful for identifying a first order transition.

PNJL model: RR et. al. PRC 89 064905 '14.



## **Isospin breaking** $T \neq 0$ , $\mu_B \neq 0$



Large discontinuity in  $\chi_{11}^{BI}(\mu_B)$  at a first order transition.

- Jump from positive to negative is understood from the relation  $\chi_{11}^{BI}(\mu_B) = \partial n_I / \partial \mu_B$
- There is a non-zero isospin number even though no isospin chemical potential.
- Both the isospin number and baryon-isospin correlations seem to be good signal for the first order transition.



#### **Baryon number and fluctuation**



Large fluctuation occurs in  $\chi^B_{20}(\mu_B)$  at a first order transition.

The baryon number shows a jump near the transition and then keeps on increasing.



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov, 2019 – p. 22/41

#### PNJL model: 2+1 flavors

The thermodynamic potential:

$$\Omega = \mathcal{U}'[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}, T] + 2g_S \sum_{f=u,d,s} \sigma_f^2 - \frac{g_D}{2} \sigma_u \sigma_d \sigma_s + 3\frac{g_1}{2} (\sum_{f=u,d,s} \sigma_f^2)^2 + 3g_2 \sum_{f=u,d,s} \sigma_f^4 - 6 \sum_{f=u,d,s} \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} E_f \Theta(\Lambda - |\vec{p}|) - 2T \sum_{f=u,d,s} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left[ 1 + 3(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}e^{-\frac{(E_f - \mu)}{T}})e^{-\frac{(E_f - \mu)}{T}} + e^{-3\frac{(E_f - \mu)}{T}} \right] - 2T \sum_{f=u,d,s} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left[ 1 + 3(\bar{\Phi} + \Phi e^{-\frac{(E_f + \mu)}{T}})e^{-\frac{(E_f + \mu)}{T}} + e^{-3\frac{(E_f + \mu)}{T}} \right]$$

where,  $g_S$  is the usual four-fermi interaction,  $g_D$  is the coupling for the 't Hooft determinant and  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are the 8q coupling constants needed to remove an infinite potential well close to the classical vacuum.



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov, 2019 – p. 23/41

#### **PNJL vs Continuum LQCD**



HotQCD: Phys. Rev. D 86, 034509 (2012). WUB: J. High Energy Phys. 01 138 (2012). PNJL model: RR et. al. PRD <u>95</u> 054005 '17.



## HRG vs LQCD I



RR et al., PRC <u>90</u> 034909 '14.

- Included hard core repulsion between hadrons to obtain fluctuations
- Reasonable agreement with Lattice QCD data for intermediate T.



## **HRG vs LQCD II**



RR et al., PRC <u>90</u> 034909 '14.

- Included hard core repulsion between hadrons to obtain fluctuations
- Reasonable agreement with Lattice QCD data for intermediate T.



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 - 20 Nov, 2019 - p. 26/41

## **PNJL+HRG vs Continuum LQCD**



**•** Total pressure  $\rightarrow P(T) = S(T)P_{PNJL}(T) + (1 - S(T))P_{HRG}(T)$ 

The switching function is given as,

$$S(T) = \left(1 + exp\left[-\frac{T - T_S}{\Delta T_S(T)}\right]\right)^{-1}$$

HotQCD: Phys. Rev. D 86, 034509 (2012). WUB: J. High Energy Phys. 01 138 (2012). PNJL + HRG model: RR *et. al*, PRC <u>99</u> 045207 '19.



#### **PNJL+HRG vs Continuum LQCD**



HotQCD: Phys. Rev. D 86, 034509 (2012). WUB: J. High Energy Phys. 01 138 (2012). LQCD: Phys. Rev. D 92, 114505 (2015). PNJL + HRG model: RR *et. al*, PRC <u>99</u> 045207 '19.



## **HRG at Freezeout I**



From hadron multiplicity data:

What happens for ratios of fluctuations with the freezeout data ??



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18-20 Nov, 2019 - p. 29/41

## **HRG at Freezeout II**



Net-proton data: X. Luo (for the STAR collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 904-905,911c (2013)



Net-charge data: L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR), Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 092301 (2014)

HRG Results: **RR** *et al.*, PRC <u>90</u> 034909 '14.



## **HRG at Freezeout III**



Sets of parameters	Experimental data used	Model used
CFO1	$(\sigma^2/M)_{np}, (\sigma^2/M)_{nc}$	HRG
CFO2	$(\sigma^2/M)_{np}, (\sigma^2/M)_{nc}$	EVHRG
CFO3	$(\sigma^2/M)_{nc}, (S\sigma)_{np}, (S\sigma)_{nc}$	HRG
CFO4	$(\sigma^2/M)_{nc}, (S\sigma)_{np}, (S\sigma)_{nc}$	EVHRG

RR et. al, PRC <u>96</u> 014902 '17.

Data from STAR: PRL 112, 032302 (2014); PRL 113, 092301 (2014)



Thermal density of i'th Hadron is given as,

$$n_i = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{\exp[(E_i - \mu_i)/T] \pm 1}.$$

•  $\mu_i = B_i \mu_B + S_i \mu_S + Q_i \mu_Q$  is total chemical potential,  $g_i$  is the degeneracy factor.

In chemical equilibrium, detected i'th hadron's rapidity density,

$$\frac{dN_i}{dy} = \frac{dV}{dy} n_i(T, \mu_Q, \mu_B, \mu_S) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{dN_i/dy}{dN_j/dy} = \frac{n_i}{n_j}$$

Add external constraints,

$$\frac{\sum_i n_i(T,\mu_B,\mu_S,\mu_Q)Q_i}{\sum_i n_i(T,\mu_B,\mu_S,\mu_Q)B_i} = r$$

$$\sum_{i} n_i(T, \mu_B, \mu_S, \mu_Q) S_i = 0$$

QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov, 2019 – p. 32/41

Thermal density of i'th Hadron is given as,

$$n_i = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{\exp[(E_i - \mu_i)/T] \pm 1}.$$

•  $\mu_i = B_i \mu_B + S_i \mu_S + Q_i \mu_Q$  is total chemical potential,  $g_i$  is the degeneracy factor.

In chemical equilibrium,

$$\label{eq:Minimize} {\rm Minimize}\; \chi^2 \; {\rm w.r.t.} \; {\rm T} \; {\rm and} \; \mu_{\rm B} \; {\rm constructed} \; {\rm from} \; \left( \frac{dN_i/dy}{dN_j/dy} - \frac{n_i}{n_j} \right)$$

Add external constraints,

$$\frac{\sum_i n_i(T,\mu_B,\mu_S,\mu_Q)Q_i}{\sum_i n_i(T,\mu_B,\mu_S,\mu_Q)B_i} = r$$

$$\sum_{i} n_i(T, \mu_B, \mu_S, \mu_Q) S_i = 0$$

QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 – 20 Nov, 2019 – p. 33/41



- AGS, SPS, RHIC and LHC (2.76 TeV) data have been used.
- Study has been performed for mid-rapidity data of most central collision of these  $\sqrt{s}$ .
- Yield of  $(\pi^{\pm}, k^{\pm} \text{ and } p, \overline{p}, \Lambda, \overline{\Lambda}, \Xi^{\pm})$  were used for fitting.
- We have not used  $\Omega^{\pm}$  yield as it is not available for most of the  $\sqrt{s}$ . RR et. al. arXiv: 1911.04828











## **PNJL beyond Mean Field vs Continuum LQCD**



**•** Total pressure  $\rightarrow P(T,\mu) = P_{PNJLMeanfield}(T,\mu) + P_{PNJLHadrons}(T,\mu)$ 

The increasing hadron masses provide automatic switch



QCDinNR, TIFR, 18 - 20 Nov, 2019 - p. 37/41

## **PNJL beyond Mean Field vs Continuum LQCD**



- **Solution** Total pressure  $\rightarrow P(T,\mu) = P_{PNJLMeanfield}(T,\mu) + P_{PNJLHadrons}(T,\mu)$
- The increasing hadron masses provide automatic switch
- Though hadronic region is satisfactory but significant difference in partonic sector

PNJL beyond mean field: RR et. al. Under progressHotQCD: Phys. Rev. D 86, 034509 (2012).WUB: J. High Energy Phys. 01 138 (2012).



## **Gluons + Glueballs ??**

Consider adjoint Polyakov Loop

$$L_A = \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}, e^{-i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}e^{i(2\phi_1 + \phi_2)}, e^{-i(2\phi_1 + \phi_2)}e^{i(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2)}e^{-i(\phi_1 + 2\phi_2)})$$

#### The partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \mathcal{D}\bar{\Phi}H \exp\left(-2V \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} ln \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^8 C_n e^{(-nE_g/T)}\right]\right)$$

where, H is the Haar measure is given by,

$$H = \frac{8}{9\pi^2} \left[ 1 - 6\bar{\Phi}\Phi + 4(\bar{\Phi}^3 + \Phi^3) - 3(\bar{\Phi}\Phi)^2 \right]$$

and the coefficient  $C_n$  is given by,

$$C_{8} = 1; C_{1} = C_{7} = 1 - 9\bar{\Phi}\Phi$$

$$C_{2} = C_{6} = 1 - 27\bar{\Phi}\Phi$$

$$C_{3} = C_{5} = -2 + 27\bar{\Phi}\Phi - 81(\bar{\Phi}\Phi)^{2}$$

$$C_{4} = 2[-1 + 9\bar{\Phi}\Phi - 27(\bar{\Phi}^{3} + \Phi^{3}) + 81(\bar{\Phi}\Phi)^{2}]$$



## Gluons + Glueballs ??

- A saddle point analysis yields negative pressure for  $T < T_c$ RR et.al. J. Phys. G, 41 (2014) 025001
- Integrate over all possible constant field configurations



#### RR et. al. Under progress



## **Collaborators**

Ramaprasad Adak Abhijit Bhattacharyya Sumana Bhattacharyya Deeptak Biswas Supriya Das Paramita Deb Sanjay K. Ghosh Anirban Lahiri Soumitra Maity Sarbani Majumder Swagato Mukherjee Tamal K. Mukherjee Munshi G. Mustafa Sibaji Raha Kinkar Saha Subhasis Samanta Pracheta Singha Subrata Sur Sudipa Upadhaya

