QCD critical point, universality and small quark mass

Maneesha S Pradeep with Mikhail Stephanov, Phys.Rev. D100 (2019) no.5, 056003



TIFR Free Meson Seminar, July 30, 2020



Beam energy scan program at RHIC and BEST topical collaboration





- BES program : Collide heavy-ions at varying $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ and discover the critical point
- BEST collaboration : Model HICs and make predictions for experiment



2015 LRPNS

QCD Equation of state at non-zero temperature and chemical potential

- Taylor expansion up to $O(\mu^6)$ from lattice QCD Hot QCD, 20 and Datta, Gavai, Gupta, 18
- Smooth extrapolation of Lattice EoS to Hadron Resonance gas models *Bellweid et al., 15*
- CP limits the validity of Taylor expansions
- A class of Hybrid EoSs combining the universal features near CP, Lattice EoS at low μ and Hadron Gas EoS at low T Parotto et al, 18









Universality near an Ising-like critical point



Fisher, 1998

- Universal critical exponents
- · Power law scaling
- Critical EoS has the same mathematical form

Static universality class of QCD: 3D Ising model

22

- Infrared limit is the ϕ^4 theory at the Wilson Fisher fixed point
- Universality class : Symmetry of order parameter and spatial dimensionality of the system

Equation of State near QCD CP from universality





$$G(r,h) = r^{\beta(1+\delta)}g(hr^{-\beta\delta})$$

$$h = \frac{\sin \alpha_1 (\mu - \mu_c) + \cos \alpha_1 (T - T_c)}{w T_c \sin (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}$$
$$r = \frac{\sin \alpha_2 (\mu - \mu_c) + \cos \alpha_2 (T - T_c)}{\rho w T_c \sin (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}$$

Non-universal mapping between QCD and Ising variables [*Parotto* et.al.(2018)]

r and h axis on the $T\mu$ plane



Non-universal mapping between QCD and Ising variables [Parotto et.al.(2018)]

- r axis is the cross-over line.
 - Lattice QCD: $\alpha_1 \approx 3.85^\circ$ if $\mu_c = 350 \text{MeV}$
- h axis is more subtle.
 - $\circ~$ Close to the CP, physics is symmetric under h
 ightarrow -h
 - Need to account for the lowest order asymmetric corrections to scaling EoS

Landau-Ginsburg potential near a critical point in mean-field theory

$$\begin{split} P(\mu,T) &= -\min_{\phi} \Omega(\phi;\mu,T) \\ \mathbf{\Omega}(\phi;\mu,\mathbf{T}) &= \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mu,\mathbf{T}) - \bar{\mathbf{h}}(\mu,\mathbf{T})\phi + \frac{\bar{\mathbf{r}}(\mu,\mathbf{T})\phi^{2}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{u}\phi^{4}}{4} + \dots \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} \text{ Symmetry} \\ \bar{h} &\to -\bar{h}, \bar{r} \to \bar{r}, \phi \to -\phi \\ & \mathbb{S} \text{ caling} \\ \phi &\sim \bar{r}^{1/2}, \quad \bar{h} \sim \bar{r}^{3/2}, \quad \Omega - \Omega_{0} \sim \bar{r}^{2} \\ & \mathbb{R} \text{e-parametrization invariance} \\ & \phi \to f(\phi), \hat{\Omega}(\phi) = \Omega(f(\phi)) \end{split}$$

22

Effect of the lowest order asymmetric correction to scaling

(h,r) to (T,μ) must be independent of the parametrization of ϕ

$$P(\mu, T) = -\min_{\phi} \Omega(\phi; \mu, T)$$

$$\Omega(\phi;\mu,T) = \Omega_0(\mu,T) - \bar{h}\phi + \frac{\bar{r}\phi^2}{2} + \frac{u\phi^4}{4} + vu\phi^5 + O(\phi^6)$$

 ϕ^5 can be absorbed by a reparameterization:

$$\begin{split} \phi^5 \sim \bar{r}^{5/2} \sim \bar{r}^{1/2} \left(\Omega - \Omega_0 \right) \text{ and } \bar{h} \sim \bar{r}^{1/2} \bar{r} \\ \phi \to \phi + v \left(\frac{\bar{r}}{u} - \phi^2 \right) \end{split}$$

$$\Omega = \left(\Omega_0 - \frac{v\bar{h}\bar{r}}{u}\right) - \overbrace{\left(\bar{\mathbf{h}} - \frac{v\bar{\mathbf{r}}^2}{\mathbf{u}}\right)}^{\mathbf{h}(\mu,\mathbf{T})} \phi + \overbrace{\left(\bar{\mathbf{r}} + 2v\bar{\mathbf{h}}\right)}^{\mathbf{r}(\mu,\mathbf{T})} \frac{\phi^2}{2} + \frac{u}{4}\phi^4 + \mathcal{O}(\phi^6, r^3) \,,$$



The world of light quarks



- Two quarks with $m_q << \Lambda_{QCD} +$ a heavy quark
- CP: ϕ^4 theory, fluctuations important in d = 3
- TCP: ϕ^6 theory , mean-field in d=3
- Mean-field valid in a region not far from CP

Effective Landau Ginsburg potential for the chiral condensate



Т ^т_ч≠0

Schematic diagram for $m_q = 0$

Schematic diagram for $m_q \neq 0$

22

$$\begin{split} P(\mu,T) &= -\min_{\phi} V(\phi;\mu,T) - m_q \phi \text{ where } \frac{\partial P}{\partial m_q} = \phi \\ V(\phi;\mu,T) &= \frac{a(\mu,T)}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{b(\mu,T)}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{c}{6} \phi^6 \dots \\ \text{at } m_q = 0: a = b = \phi = 0, \text{CP at } m_q \neq 0: \phi_c = \left(\frac{3m_q}{8c}\right)^{1/5}, \ a_c = 5c \phi_c^4, \ b_c = -\frac{10c}{3} \phi_c^2 \end{split}$$

TCP

Effective Landau Ginsburg potential near a critical point which is close to a tri-critical point



$$\phi_c \propto m_q^{1/5}, \, a_c \propto \phi_c^4, \, b_c \propto \phi_c^2$$

22

Phase diagram of QCD[Stephanov-Rajagopal-Shuryak(1998)]

$$\Omega(\phi,\mu,T) = -m_q \phi + \frac{a(\mu,T)}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{b(\mu,T)}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{c}{6} \phi^6 \dots$$

Expand about CP:

$$\Omega(\phi,\mu,T) = -\frac{\bar{h}}{\tilde{\phi}} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{r}\tilde{\phi}^2 + \frac{u}{4}\tilde{\phi}^4 + vu\tilde{\phi}^5 + \tilde{\phi}^6, \quad \phi_c \sim m_q^{1/5}, \ u \sim m_q^{2/5}, \ vu \sim m_q^{1/5}$$

$$h \sim \left(\Delta a + \phi_c^2 \Delta b\right) \phi_c \,, \, r \sim \Delta a + rac{27}{7} \phi_c^2 \Delta b$$



Scaling in the limit of small quark mass



 $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$

/ 22

An example: Random matrix model of Halasz et.al,1998 , $m_q = 5 \text{ MeV}$

 $\alpha_1 \approx 13^\circ, \alpha_2 \approx 1^\circ, \rho \approx 0.5, w \approx 1.4$



• If the value of skewness along the freeze-out curve is negative, it is indicative that the slope of *h* axis is negative.

Ginsburg region and beyond mean-field



 $\begin{array}{ll} u\xi^\epsilon & \sim & 1 \\ \\ \xi & \sim & m_q^{-2/5\epsilon} \end{array}$

Mean field theory breaks down when

Mean-field theory has a significant range of validity around the critical point and breaks down in a parametrically small region around it.





Affect of fluctuations on the mixing between r and h

In d = 4, two Z_2 odd perturbations of dimension 5

 ϕ^5 and $\phi^2 \nabla^2 \phi$

Correction due to V_3 modifies the mapping $V_3 = u\phi^5 - \phi^2 \nabla^2 \phi$ $\Delta_3 = \beta \delta - 1 = 1/2 + O(\epsilon^2) \sim \log(h/r)$

Correction due to V_5 doesn't $V_5 = u\phi^5 - (10S_5/3)\phi^2 \nabla^2 \phi$, $S_5 = O(\epsilon)$ $\Delta_5 = 1/2 + \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)$

In
$$d = 3, \Delta_5 \sim 1.3 - 1.6 > \Delta_3 \sim 0.56$$

Fluctuations donot modify the scaling of slope difference with quark mass

$$\tan \alpha_1 - \tan \alpha_2 = \frac{7}{10} C_{MF} \left(1 + S_5(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^2) \right) m_q^{2/5}$$

$$P_{\rm sing} = -A r^{\beta(1+\delta)} \left(g(hr^{-\beta\delta}) + v_5 r^{\Delta_5} g_5(hr^{-\beta\delta}) \right) + \dots$$

Maneesha S Pradeep mprade2@uic.edu

QCD EoS near CP at small mq

Phenomenological consequences

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \sim m_q^{2/5} > 0$$



- Sign of χ_3 along the cross-over line indicates the sign of the slope of h axis
- Enhanced baryon cumulants relative to the prediction based on the often favored assumption $h \perp \mu$, $\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \mu^2} \sim \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial h^2} \sim r^{-\gamma} >> \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial r^2} \sim r^{-\alpha}$
- Recently, *M. Martinez, T. Schafer and V. Skokov, 2019* showed that the smallness of α_2 also results in enhanced critical bulk viscosity.

Locating the critical point from Taylor expansion

- Radius of convergence $(\mu_R^2(T))$ is the distance to the closest singularity from $\mu = 0$
- Taylor expansion around $\mu = 0$,

$$P(\mu, T) = c_0(T) + c_2(T)\mu^2 + c_4(T)\mu^4 \dots$$

• Convergence radius can be estimated from Taylor coefficients:

$$\mu_R(T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{c_{2n}(T)}{c_{2n+2}(T)} \right)^{1/2}$$

• If C.P is the closest singularity, we expect to see $\mu_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{c}}) = \mu_{\mathbf{c}}$.

In the next four slides, I'll show that the behavior of $\frac{c_{2n}(T)}{\mu_c^2 c_{2n+2}(T)}$ at sufficiently large *n* (need not be too large!) can be predicted universally.

Convergence radius when an Ising critical point is the closest singularity-I

• Very close to the C.P, along any line other than the first order phase transition curve, the leading behavior of critical part of pressure goes as:

$$P(\mu^2, T_c) \propto h^{\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}}$$

• One can invoke the map from (h, r) to (μ^2, T) to obtain:

$$P(\mu^2, T_c) \propto \left(1 - \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_c^2}\right)^{a_p}$$

where $a_p = \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}$. At sufficiently large n,

$$\frac{c_{2n}(T_c)}{\mu_c^2 c_{2n+2}(T_c)} = 1 + \frac{a_p + 1}{n - a_p} + \dots$$

If $a_p > -1$, the ratio overestimates μ_c^2 for finite n. In QCD, $a_p \approx 1.2$.

Testing the prediction and implications





- Above plot for $T_c = 0.94T_{\text{crossover}}$
- $a_p \approx 1.2 > -1$
- Can be used to extrapolate to the $n \to \infty$ limit



Convergence radius from Taylor coefficients of susceptibility





Figure: Datta et al., 2017

• Close to C.P,
$$\chi \sim \left(1 - \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_c^2}\right)^{a_{\chi}}$$
 where $a_{\chi} = a_p - 2$

$$\frac{c_{2n}^{\chi}(T_c)}{\mu_c^2 c_2^{\chi}(T_c)} = 1 + \frac{a_{\chi} + 1}{n - a_{\chi}}, \ a_{\chi} = a_p - 2$$
$$a_{\chi} = -2/3 > -1 \text{ for RMM}, \ a_{\chi} \approx -0.8 > -1 \text{ for QCD}$$



Figure: Bazavov et al., 2017

22

Summary

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = c \, m_q^{2/5}, \, c > 0$$

- Skewness could possibly be negative along the freeze-out curve
- Enhanced baryon number cumulants
- Enhanced transport coefficients

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{c_{2n}(T_c)}{\mu_c^2 c_{2n+2}(T_c)} = 1 + \frac{a_p + 1}{n - a_p}$$

•
$$a_p \approx 1.2 > -1$$
 and $a_\chi \approx -0.8 > -1$

Thank you!



22

QCD EoS near CP at small m_q

Random Matrix Model



Phase diagram of RMM[Halasz et al., 1998]

- Chiral symmetry restoring phase transition at small m_q
- $(\mu_e(m_q), T_e(m_q))$ of Z_2 universality class
- Advantage: Analytically solvable

$$P(\mu, T, m_q) = -\min_{\phi} \Omega(\phi; \mu, T, m_q)$$
$$\Omega(\phi; \mu, T, m_q) = \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[(\phi + m_q)^4 - 2(\mu^2 - T^2) (\phi + m_q)^2 + (\mu^2 + T^2)^2 \right]$$

