



### Flagship Measurements on the Higgs Boson and new physics searches at LHC

Shashikant Dugad Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, INDIA for ATLAS-CMS Collaboration

CKM2016, 28 November – 02 December 2016







- Accelerator and Detectors
  - LHC
  - CMS and ATLAS
- Study of Higgs Properties using ATLAS and CMS data
  - Mass of Higgs
  - Production Mechanisms
  - Branching Fractions, Coupling
  - Cross Sections
    - Fiducial Cross Section
    - Differential Distributions

### • BSM Searches mediated by Higgs



Higgs GRANNE-DIGITAL CAMERA GIGANTIC DIGITAL CAMERA





- Discovery of new boson by ATLAS and CMS detector announced in 2012 followed by its Higgs like nature confirmation in 2013
- Noble prize awarded for their predictions to P. Higgs and F. Englert in 2013

CMS,









40 Millions GeV 13000 second Accelerator (26.7 km) bunch crossings per **pp collision Energy** ð No.





### F. Englert @ ATLAS

### P. Higgs @ CMS

# Citifr Outstanding Performance of LHC SATLAS



CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp, 2016,  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV



1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the data Analysis presented with  $\sim$ 

CMS

# **tifr** Higgs Production Mechanisms



CMS

ATLAS









Higgs Decay Modes



Decay Mode	B.R. (%)	Effective B.R. (%)	Mass Resolution (%)	Detection Sensitivity (S/B)
н→тт	0.228	0.228	1-2	<1
$H \rightarrow Z Z^* \rightarrow 4I$	2.67	0.0136	1-2	>1
$H \rightarrow W W^* \rightarrow 2I 2v$	21.6	1.01	30	<1
$H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$	6.30	6.30	10-20	<1
$H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	0.022	0.022	1-2	<<1
$H \rightarrow b bbar$	57.5	57.5	X	<<1
$H \rightarrow c cbar$	2.90	2.90	X	X
$H \rightarrow g g$	8.56	8.56	X	X





- Cross sections measured in a phase space covered by observations are sensitive to the kinematics of production, decay mechanism, detector acceptance etc.
- Theoretical calculations of cross sections (fiducial cross section) estimated at par with phase space covered by experimental data reduces systematic uncertainties on calculations

$$\sigma^{tot} = \frac{N_s}{A.C.\mathcal{B}.\mathcal{L}_{int}}$$



 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{A}}$  : kinematic and geometric acceptance in the fiducial volume

 $\mathcal{B}$ : Branching Fraction

C : detector correction factor (reco., trigger and identification efficiency, resolution etc.)

*N<sub>s</sub>*: number of measured signal events (after background subtraction)





coupling Strengths using H-3 YN



Higgs  $\rightarrow$  Y Y



#### Vertex ID Vertex ID Vertex assignment crucial for di-photon mass resolution - |Z<sub>chosen</sub> - Z<sub>true</sub>| < 1 cm Photon ID **ATLAS:** photon direction uses calorimeter data CMS Preliminary - Shower shape, isolation Events Data Simulation: - p<sub>T</sub>(Y) > 25 GeV, $|\eta(Y)| < 2.37$ , excluding 1.37< $|\eta(Y)| < 1.52$ $10^{7}$ $- p_T(Y_1) > 0.35m_{yy}, p_T(Y_2) > 0.25m_{yy}$ **γ**1 10<sup>6</sup> CMS: 10 MVA based classifier to distinguish between prompt photons and

- Diphoton classification Shower shape, particle flow, isolation
- $p_T(Y_1) > 30 \text{ GeV}, p_T(Y_2) > 20 \text{ GeV}, |\eta(Y)| < 2.5),$
- excluding 1.44< $|\eta(Y)|$ <1.57,  $p_T(Y_1)$  > 0.33  $m_{YY}$ ,  $p_{TYY2}$  > 0.25 $m_{YY}$
- Di-photon mass:  $m_{\gamma\gamma} = \sqrt{2E_{\gamma 1}E_{\gamma 2}(1-\coslpha)}$





$$m_{\gamma\gamma} = \sqrt{2E_{\gamma1}E_{\gamma2}(1-\coslpha)}$$



## **tifr** Run 2: Di-photon mass distribution **SATLAS**



# Systematic Errors dominated by photon energy scale, resolution and background bias

CMS



- Observed significance 5.6σ (Expected: 6.2σ for the SM Higgs boson at m<sub>H</sub>=125.09 GeV)
- The maximum observed significance is 6.1σ at m<sub>H</sub>=126 GeV
- Observations consistent with Expected (σ x BR) <sub>SM</sub>

 $\widehat{\mu} = 0.95 \pm 0.20 = 0.95 \pm 0.17$  (stat.)  $^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$  (syst.)  $^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$  (theo.).



ATLAS:  $R_{obs} = \sigma_{VBF} / \sigma_{ggF} = 0.25^{+0.15}$   $R_{SM} = 0.079 \pm 0.004$ CMS:  $\mu_{ggH,t\bar{t}H} = 0.80^{+0.14}_{-0.18}$  and  $\mu_{VBF,VH} = 1.59^{+0.73}_{-0.45}$ 

#### CMS Run 1: Differential xSec for **tifr** Н→У У

19.7fb<sup>-1</sup> (8TeV)





# EPJC 76 (2016) 13 CMS-PAS-HIG-14-016

2.5

З





CMS

0 0

0.1

0.2

0.3 0.4

0.5

0.6

0.7

0.8

0.9

 $|\cos\theta^*|$ 

0

0.5

1

1.5

2

2.5

З

 $\Delta \phi_{ii}$ 

O

# tifr Fiducial Cross Section: H->Y Y Statlas



#### Measurements of fiducial cross section

13 TeV	Fiducial σ (fb)	SM prediction (fb)	
ATLAS (13.3 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	43.2±14.9(stat)±4.9(syst)	62.8 <sup>+3.4</sup> -4.4 (N <sup>3</sup> LO+XH)	
CMS (12.9 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	69+ <sup>16</sup> -22(stat) <sup>+8</sup> -6(syst)	73.8±3.8	



Shashikant Dugad, CKM2016





coupling strengths using H-> WW\*, 72\*



Muons:  $p_{\rm T} > 5$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.7$ 

Electrons:  $p_{\rm T} > 7 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.47$ 

Leading pair:SFOS lepton pair with smallest  $|m_Z - m_{\ell\ell}|$ Sub-leading pair:Remaining SFOS lepton pair with smallest  $|m_Z - m_{\ell\ell}|$ 

Leading leptons  $p_T > 20, 15, 10 \text{ GeV}$   $50 < m_{12} < 106 \text{ GeV}; 12 < m_{34} < 115 \text{ GeV}$   $\Delta R(\ell_i, \ell_j) > 0.1(0.2)$  for same(opposite)-flavour leptons  $m(\ell_i, \ell_j) > 5$  GeV for all SFOS lepton pairs  $115 < m_{4\ell} < 130$  GeV

**ATLAS** 

<b>Requirements for the</b> $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ fiducial phase space				
Lepton kinematics and isolation				
Leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 20  { m GeV}$			
Next-to-leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 10 { m ~GeV}$			
Additional electrons (muons) $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 7(5) { m ~GeV}$			
Pseudorapidity of electrons (muons)	$ \eta  < 2.5(2.4)$			
Sum of scalar $p_{\rm T}$ of all stable particles within $\Delta R < 0.4$ from lepton	$< 0.4 \cdot p_{\mathrm{T}}$			
Event topology				
Existence of at least two same-flavor OS lepton pairs, where leptons satisfy criteria above				
Inv. mass of the $Z_1$ candidate	$40 \text{GeV} < m_{Z_1} < 120 \text{GeV}$			
Inv. mass of the $Z_2$ candidate	$12 \text{GeV} < m_{Z_2} < 120 \text{GeV}$			
Distance between selected four leptons	$\Delta R(\ell_i, \ell_j) > 0.02$ for any $i \neq j$			
Inv. mass of any opposite sign lepton pair	$m_{\ell^+\ell'^-} > 4\mathrm{GeV}$			
Inv. mass of the selected four leptons	$105{\rm GeV} < m_{4\ell} < 140{\rm GeV}$			













CMS at 13 TeV Observed 2.29<sup>+0.74</sup>-0.64 (stat) <sup>+0.30</sup>-0.23 (syst) fb SM: 2.53 ± 0.13 fb ATLAS at 13 TeV Observed 59.0<sup>+9.7</sup><sub>-9.2</sub> (stat) <sup>+04.4</sup><sub>-3.5</sub> (syst) pb SM: 55.5<sup>+9.7</sup><sub>-9.2</sub> fb





#### 2015 data (2.3 fb<sup>-1</sup>) data analyzed for H→WW<sup>\*</sup> ATLAS-CONF-2016-112

#### 2016 data analysis for this channel is under progress



Run 2:  $H \rightarrow b b$ 



### ATLAS: VH(→bb)

### CMS: VBF H(→bb)









# **tifr** Probing ttH Production Mechanism



- Probing Yukawa coupling between top and Higgs is very important
  - via ggF with no BSM particles in the loop
  - ttH provides direct access at tree level, via associated production of ttbar
  - $\sigma_{13 \text{ TeV}}(\text{ttH}) \sim 508 \text{ fb} \sim 4\sigma_{8 \text{ TeV}}(\text{ttH})$
- ttH(bb), ttH(W W<sup>\*</sup>), ttH(Z Z<sup>\*</sup>), ttH(τ <sup>+</sup>τ <sup>-</sup>), ttH (→γγ) topologies targeted with multi-leptons, displaced b-jets etc. in final states







### $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ (BF: 6.3%) $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ (BF: 2.67%) $H \rightarrow WW^*$ (BF 21.6%)



02 Dec. 2016



**tifr** 

ttH Signal Strength



### ttH ( $\rightarrow$ bb), ttH ( $\rightarrow$ WW<sup>\*</sup>), ttH ( $\rightarrow$ ZZ<sup>\*</sup>), ttH ( $\rightarrow$ $\tau^{+}\tau^{-}$ ), ttH ( $\rightarrow$ $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ ) targeted



![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

CMS:  $\mu$  < 1.5 (1.7) at 95% CL

ATLAS: *μ* < 4.0 at 95% CL

02 Dec. 2016

CMS

ATLAS-CONF-2016-080

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

- SM Higgs has both; bosonic and fermionic coupling
- Higgs  $\rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$  is most sensitive fermionic decay channel of Higgs
- An important channel to test SM behavior of Higgs
  - Possible final states of  $\tau_{decay}$ • ee,  $\mu\mu$ ,  $e\mu$ ,  $e\tau_h$ ,  $\mu\tau_h$ ,  $\tau_h\tau_h$  q W/Z W/Z W/Z W/Z W/Z W/Z W/ZW/Z

τ<sub>h</sub> = hadronic tau decay

ē,μ

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

# ( tifr Run 1 ATLAS: Higgs → T + T - SATLAS

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Evidence for H  $\rightarrow \tau \tau$  decays at 4.5 $\sigma$  (3.5 $\sigma$  expected) with ATLAS data
- Run 2 data analysis under progress

$$\mu = 1.43^{+0.27}_{-0.26}(stat)^{+0.32}_{-0.25}(syst) \pm 0.09(theor)$$

CMS.

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $Higgs \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ 

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

- A very rare decay in the SM (BF: 2.2 x 10<sup>-4</sup>)
  - Probe Yukawa-coupling to 2<sup>nd</sup> generation fermions and mass dependence
  - Test of the Higgs coupling to leptons
- Clean signature from dimuon final state
- Overwhelming irreducible background
   Z/γ\*→μμ
- Analysis strategy:
  - Search for peak in di-muon mass spectrum over smoothly falling background
- Categorize events according to VBF and ggF signature enriched

![](_page_36_Figure_11.jpeg)

Run 1: μ < 7.4 (6.4) @95%C PLB744 (2015), 184-207

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### ATLAS-CONF-2016-041

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

ATLAS	Upper limit x SM (expected)	
Run 1	7.1 (7.2)	
Run 2	4.4 (5.5)	
Combined Run 1 and Run 2	3.5 (4.5)	

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

- A standard model Higgs decaying to invisible particles
  - Higgs mediated Dark Matter

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

### BF(→Invisible) → Width → Coupling →Cross Section for Higgs mediated DM production

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

Table 11: Parameters in the Higgs-portal dark-matter model.

Vacuum expectation value	$v/\sqrt{2}$	174 GeV
Higgs boson mass	$m_H$	125 GeV
Higgs boson width	$\Gamma_H$	4.07 MeV
Nucleon mass	$m_N$	939 MeV
Higgs-nucleon coupling form factor	$f_N$	$0.33^{+0.30}_{-0.07}$

### ■ Direct Search for H → Invisible

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

CMS

EXPERIMEN

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

**ATLAS:** JHEP 03 (2015) 088 ATLAS-CONF-2016-088 **ATLAS-CONF-2016-089** Phys. Lett. B 759 (2016) 555-574 Eur. Phys. J. C, 73 6 (2013) 2465 JHEP 11 (2015) 206

CMS: CMS-PAS-HIG-2016-030 CMS-PAS-HIG-2016-031 JHEP 12 (2015) 1

c+s-bar t+b-bar

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Search for Charged Higgs

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

- 2 Higgs Doublet Model predicts 5 scalar Higgs boson (h, H, A, H<sup>±</sup>)
- Charged Higgs can decay into  $\tau v_{\tau}$ , tb, cb, cs depending on the parameters of the model
  - Cos(β-α)→0 → dominant decay into  $\tau v_{\tau}$
  - Type II 2HDM → dominant decay into tb

![](_page_43_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Search for Charged Higgs

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Exploration of SM Higgs properties begun with Run-2 data
- Various Production mechanism and Decay modes of Higgs have been probed extensively using Run-2 data
- Results presented using ~1/3<sup>rd</sup> of 2016 (13 TeV) data
- Accurate measurements of BF, couplings, correlation between bosonic and fermionic coupling with more data from Run-2 would be accomplished

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **tifr** Run 1: Combined Coupling Strengths **EXPERIMENT**

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mass: 125.09 ± 0.24 GeV

Spin: 0, Parity: Even

Consistency of SM expectation with  $\mu$ =1 with a p-value of 40%

Mild excess in ttH and ZH production modes

CMS

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Run 1 Relative Couplings

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Reference channel:**

- $ggF \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$
- Small background
- Smallest uncertainties

$$\frac{\sigma_{ttH}}{\sigma_{ggF}} = 3.3^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$$
$$\frac{\sigma_{ZH}}{\sigma_{ggF}} = 3.2^{+1.8}_{-1.4}$$
$$\frac{B^{bb}}{B^{ZZ}} = 0.19^{+0.21}_{-0.12}$$

Mid excess in ttH in multi-lepton channel Mild excess in  $ZH \rightarrow WW$ Deficit in  $VH \rightarrow bb$ 

#### **Overall SM Compatibility: 16%**

![](_page_54_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow$ gama gama

Angular Distribution

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)