## Grand ensemble results for the

 Asymmetric Simple Exclusion ProcessHenk van Beijeren



## Institute for Theoretical Physics Utrecht University

Universiteit Utrecht

Equilibrium statistical physics is well-understood and far developed.
Recent accomplishments:

RG theory of critical phenomena<br>Density functional theory<br>Monte Carlo methods in computational science

Equilibrium statistical physics is well-understood and far developed.
Recent accomplishments:

> RG theory of critical phenomena
> Density functional theory
> Monte Carlo methods in computational science

Used as a tool in many other areas of science, e.g.:

Condensed matter theory<br>Nuclear physics<br>Plasma physics<br>String theory
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There is no general description for stationary non-equilibrium systems, comparable to the Gibbs-ensembles in equilibrium.

For this reason there is great interest in simple stochastic models of non-equilibrium behavior, for which exact results can be obtained.

An example of this is the Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process (ASEP) for which many results have been obtained in recent years.
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An example of this is the Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process (ASEP) for which many results have been obtained in recent years.

$p=q \rightarrow$ SSEP: Collective dynamics on average identical to those of set of independent random walkers. Tagged particle dynamics are non-trivial (e.g. $<[x(t)-x(0)]^{2} \sim t^{1 / 2}$ for large $t$ ) but well understood.

ASEP has non-trival collective dynamics with KPZ (Kardar, Parisi, Zhang) behavior.

ASEP has non-trival collective dynamics with KPZ (Kardar, Parisi, Zhang) behavior.

Of interest as very simplified model for traffic flows. Other applications include:

Transport of ions or molecules through pores in membranes.
Dynamics of interfaces in 2d.
Reptation dynamics of polymers in a gel.
Dynamics of motor proteins moving along microtubules.
Good reviews are given in:
B. Derrida, Physics Reports 301 (1998) 65-83
R.A. Blythe and M.R. Evans J. Phys. A 40 (2007) R333-R441
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## Stationary state on a ring

For $n$ particles on a ring of length $N$ the stationary state gives equal weight to all configurations.


With cluster number $n_{c l}$ both gain and loss rate equal $n_{c l}(p+q)$

As a consequence the occupations of different sites are completely uncorrelated (apart from small finite size effects). In other words: mean-field approximations are exact.

With open boundaries and given rates $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ for extracting or inserting particles at the ends the situation becomes much more complicated.


The most elegant and simplest way of attacking this problem is with the Matrix Product Ansatz (MPA) of Derrida and Evans.
They assume one can find matrices $D$ and $E$, satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p D E-q E D=D+E \\
& <W|(\alpha E-\gamma D)=<W| \\
& (\beta D-\delta E)|V>=| V>
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the stationary distribution is given by

$$
p_{s t}(0,0,1,0 \ldots 1,1,0 \ldots 0,1)=Z^{-1}\langle W| E E D E \ldots D D E \ldots E D|V\rangle
$$

$$
p_{s t}(0,0,1,0 \ldots 1,1,0 \ldots 0,1)=Z_{N}^{-1}<W|E E D E \ldots D D E \ldots E D| V>
$$

with

$$
Z_{N}=\langle W|(E+D)^{N}|V\rangle
$$

From the partition function $Z_{N}$ several quantities can be calculated immediately.

The average current is obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
J=J_{n, n+1} & =Z_{N}^{-1}<W\left|(E+D)^{n-1}(p D E-q E D)(E+D)^{N-n-1}\right| V> \\
& =Z_{N}^{-1}<W\left|(E+D)^{N-1}\right| V> \\
& =Z_{N-1} / Z_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Average densities follow from a slight generalization:

$$
Z_{N}(\lambda, \mu)=\langle W|(\lambda E+\mu D)^{N}|V\rangle
$$

as

$$
\rho=N^{-1}\left[\partial \log Z_{N}(\lambda, \mu) / \partial \mu\right]_{\lambda=\mu=1}
$$

Strong simplifications occur for $q=0$ (TASEP). Commutation relation reduces to

$$
p D E=D+E(\text { here one may set } p=1)
$$

plus one may set $\gamma=\delta=0$, so $\langle W| \alpha E=\langle W| ; \beta D|V\rangle=|V\rangle$

Strong simplifications occur for $q=0$ (TASEP).
Commutation relation reduces to

$$
p D E=D+E(\text { here one may set } p=1)
$$

plus one may set $\gamma=\delta=0$, so $\langle W| \alpha E=\langle W| ; \beta D|V\rangle=|V\rangle$
Most practical is going to a Grand ensemble representation (known in the field as generating function method ).

$$
p_{s t}\left(N, \tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{N}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{g}(z)} z^{N}<W\left|\Pi_{i=1}^{N}\left(\tau_{i} D+\left(1-\tau_{i}\right) E\right)\right| V>
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{g}(z) & =\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} z^{N}\langle W|(D+E)^{N}|V\rangle \\
& =\langle W| \frac{1}{1-z(D+E)}|V\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Z_{g}(z)=\langle W| \frac{1}{1-z(D+E)}|V\rangle
$$

The average current follows from
$<j>=<\frac{Z_{N-1}}{Z_{N}}>=\frac{\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} Z_{N-1} Z_{N}^{N} Z_{N}}{Z_{g}(z)}=z$

The average length follows as

$$
<N>=\frac{\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} N z^{N} Z_{N}}{Z_{g}(z)}=z \frac{\partial \log Z_{g}(z)}{\partial z}
$$

Expression for density remains as before.

$$
Z_{g}(z)=\langle W| \frac{1}{1-z(D+E)}|V\rangle
$$

Now use: $(1-c D)(1-c E)=1-c(D+E)+c^{2} D E$

$$
=1-c(1-c)(D+E)
$$

to rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{g}(z) & \left.=\langle W| \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z}) E} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z}) D} \right\rvert\, V> \\
& =\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z})} \frac{\beta}{\beta-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z})}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { (requiring }\langle W \mid V\rangle=1 \text { ) }
$$

$$
Z_{g}(z)=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z})} \frac{\beta}{\beta-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z})}
$$

We want $\langle N\rangle \rightarrow \infty$, say $\langle N\rangle=1 / \varepsilon$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
<N> & =z \frac{\partial \log Z_{g}(z)}{\partial z} \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z})}+\frac{1}{\beta-\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1-4 z})}\right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we see there are three ways this can be obtained:

1) $\mathrm{z}=\alpha(1-\alpha)($ with $\alpha<\beta$ and $\alpha<1 / 2)$ Low density phase
2) $\mathrm{z}=\beta(1-\beta)($ with $\beta<\alpha$ and $\beta<1 / 2)$ High density phase
3) $\mathrm{z}=1 / 4$

Maximal current phase

## Leads to phase diagram below:



Special case for $\alpha=\beta<1 / 2$. In this case low-density and high-density phase compete and one obtains solutions showing a shock profile. Interpretable as solutions with coexisting phases.


## Multispecies models
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$n$ species represented by matrices $D_{i}$. Exchange rates $p_{i j}$ between neighboring particles with $p_{i j} \neq 0$ only if $i<j$.
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$n$ species represented by matrices $D_{i}$. Exchange rates $p_{i j}$ between neighboring particles with $p_{i j} \neq 0$ only if $i<j$.
Require that these matrices satisfy the relations

$$
p_{i j} D_{i} D_{j}=c_{i} D_{j}-c_{j} D_{i}
$$

They have to obey the associative property $D_{i}\left[D_{j} D_{k}\right]=\left[D_{i} D_{j}\right] D_{k}$ This imposes the conditions

$$
p_{i j}=\mathrm{v}_{i}-\mathrm{v}_{j,} \text { so } \mathrm{v}_{l}>\mathrm{v}_{2}>\ldots>\mathrm{v}_{n}
$$

Passing rates are proportional to velocity differences.

Choose one of the species, say $m+1$ as the empties and set $\mathrm{v}_{m+1}=0$.
Then species $1 \ldots m$ are right movers. Can be inserted on left with rates $f_{l} \ldots f_{m}$ if first site is occupied by an empty; and extracted (= exchanged for an empty) with rates $g_{1} \ldots g_{m}$ if they occupy the last site. Species $\mathrm{m}+2, \ldots \mathrm{n}$ are left movers, with similar input and exit rates.

Choose one of the species, say $m+1$ as the empties and set $\mathrm{v}_{m+1}=0$.
Then species $1 \ldots m$ are right movers. Can be inserted on left with rates $f_{l} \ldots f_{m}$ if first site is occupied by an empty; and extracted (= exchanged for an empty) with rates $g_{1} \ldots g_{m}$ if they occupy the last site. Species $\mathrm{m}+2, \ldots \mathrm{n}$ are left movers, with similar input and exit rates (on opposite sides).

Introduce again vectors $\langle\mathrm{W}|$ and $|\mathrm{V}\rangle$ and require:

1. $\mid \mathrm{V}>$ is right eigenvector of $D_{l} \ldots D_{m+l}$, with all eigenvalues 1 .
2. $<\mathrm{W} \mid$ is left eigenvector of $D_{m+1} \ldots D_{n}$, also with eigenvalues 1 .

The relations $\left(\mathrm{v}_{i}-\mathrm{v}_{j}\right) D_{i} D_{j}=c_{i} D_{j}-c_{j} D_{i}$ then impose severe conditions on the allowed values of the $c_{i}$, namely

$$
c_{i}=\mathrm{v}_{i}-\mathrm{v}_{0}
$$

The stationarity condition fixes the entrance and exit rates:

The stationarity condition fixes the entrance and exit rates:
If we require that the stationary distribution is of the form

$$
p_{s t}\left(i_{1} \ldots i_{N}\right)=\frac{<W\left|z_{i_{1}} D_{i_{1}} \ldots z_{i_{N}} D_{i_{N}}\right| V>}{Z_{g}\left(z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right)}
$$

the entrance and exit frequencies must be chosen as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.f_{j}=\frac{z_{j}}{z_{e}}\left|\mathrm{v}_{j}-\mathrm{v}_{0}\right| \quad j \neq m+1 \quad \text { (with } z_{e} \equiv z_{m+1}\right) \\
& g_{j}=\left|\mathrm{v}_{j}-\mathrm{v}_{0}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition $z_{e}$ depends on the other $z_{j}$. So the fugacities are fixed by the entrance rates plus $\mathrm{v}_{0}$, up to a multiplicative constant that determines the average length $\langle N\rangle$.

Grand partition function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{g} & =<W\left|\frac{1}{1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} D_{j}}\right| V> \\
& =<W\left|\frac{1}{1-b_{n} D_{n}} \cdots \frac{1}{1-b_{1} D_{1}}\right| V>
\end{aligned}
$$

Simple to express $z_{i}$ in terms of $b_{j}$. The inverse problem requires solving polynomial equations of order $n$.
In terms of b's the partition function simply satisfies

$$
\log Z_{g}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1-b_{i}\right) \quad(\text { with }<W \mid V>=1)
$$

The large-system limit again can be obtained either by having $b_{i} \rightarrow 1$ (i-dominated phase) or $\operatorname{Det}\left(\partial z_{i} / \partial b_{j}\right)=0$ (maximal current phase). Phase transitions happen whenever as function of external parameters one jumps from one condition to another.

By and large one finds the same phenomena as in the single species case. Some remarks can be made, however:

1. Because there are many more parameters there are more options for phase transitions. The nature of these apparently does not change.
2. Because there are many more parameters there are more options for phase transitions. The nature of these apparently does not change.
3. If one has at least two kinds of right (left) movers plus at least one kind of left (right) mover, the matrices can be reduced to constants and solutions basically reduce to product measures. All densities become uniform, with values $\rho_{i}=z_{i} / \sum_{j} z_{j}$. This can be cured by considering models with only right and left movers and no empties.
4. Because there are many more parameters there are more options for phase transitions. The nature of these apparently does not change.
5. If one has at least two kinds of right (left) movers plus at least one kind of left (right) mover, the matrices can be reduced to constants and solutions basically reduce to product measures. All densities become uniform, with values $\rho_{i}=z_{i} / \sum_{j} z_{j}$. This can be cured by considering models with only right and left movers and no empties.
6. Except in shock states, where both a right and a left mover have a $b_{i} \approx 1$ the occupations in the bulk are uncorrelated in the largesystem limit.
7. It is nice having a model that can describe multi-speed and two-lane traffic. However, it is a pity that solvability conditions restrict the passing rates to single values.

Remark: There are several other solution methods, which can be used e.g. to treat the Partially Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process (PASEP). See e.g. Blythe and Evans.

Open problems:

1. Systems with disorder. Both by having different hopping rates of particles and by having hopping rates that vary between sites. Especially the latter problem is hard.
2. PASEP with two types of empty sites with interchanged $p$ 's and $q$ 's. This models DNA electrophoresis.
3. Higher dimensional systems.
