Spectral and Time Series Analyses of the Seyfert 1 AGN: Zw 229.015

Oluwashina Adegoke Astronomy & Astrophysics Program Indian Institute of Science

With Suvendu Rakshit & Banibrata Mukhopadhyay

> Adegoke, O., Rakshit, S., Mukhopadhyay, B. MNRAS accepted. ArXiv:1612.06817; DOI:10.1093/mnras/stw3330

Outline

Motivation & Brief Introduction Spectral Analysis XMM-Newton EPIC data Timing Analysis Cross-Correlation Function Nonlinear Timing Analysis Conclusion & Summary

Introduction & Motivation





Zw 229.015 is a Seyfert 1 AGN at a redshift of 0.0275

 Its mass has been estimated to be about 10⁷ solar masses by Barth et al. (2011)

 It was observed continuously by Kepler telescope from 2011 to 2014

• Our aim is to carry out simultaneous X-ray and optical analysis for the source

This source has been well studied in the optical, thus X-ray analysis can further help in adequate modelling of such Seyfert 1 AGNs.

Spectral Analysis



The data used in this analysis is the archival EPIC-PN and MOS data of the source obtained on June 5, 2011 on-board XMM-Newton

Datareductionfollowedstandardprocedureprovidedby the SAS software

We fit the spectra in the range (0.3-10.0kev) with a simple powerlaw and observe the presence of some soft excess below 1.0kev (as shown below)

www.shutterstock.com

Plot of power-law spectral fit and its residue



Power-law fit parameters

Wabs nH (cm ⁻²)	6.25 X 10 ²⁰
Photon index	2.04±0.006
X²/d.o.f	2050/1410

Fitted Spectral Models

 Multi-colour Disc Blackbody Model [diskbb]
(Mitsuda et al. 1984, Makishima et al. 1986)

Smeared Absorption Wind Model [swind1] (Gierlinski & Done 2004)

Thermal Componisation Model [compTT] (Titarchuk 1994)

 Relativistically Blurred Reflection Model [reflionx]
(Ross & Fabian 2005)

Spectral plots for all four models



Table showing best-fit parameters

Model/Parameter & Best-fit values	
Model	constant*wabs*(diskbb+zpo)
Calibration factor (CF)	0.993 ± 0.008
$T_{\rm in}(\rm keV)$	0.156 ± 0.004
Photon index Γ	1.80 ± 0.013
χ^2/dof	1491/1408
Flux (erg cm ^{-2} s ^{-1})	6.655×10^{-12}
$L (\text{erg s}^{-1})$	1.14×10^{43}
Model	constant*wabs*(swind1*zpo)
Calibration factor (CF)	0.993 ± 0.008
Col. density (×10 ²² cm ⁻²)	13.80 ± 2.27
$Log(\xi/erg cm s^{-1})$	3.11 ± 0.07
σ (in units of v/c)	0.38 ± 0.04
Photon index Γ	1.96 ± 0.01
χ^2/dof	1439/1406
Flux (erg cm ^{-2} s ^{-1})	6.72×10^{-12}
$L (\text{erg s}^{-1})$	1.15×10^{43}
Model	constant*wabs*kdblur(atable{reflionx.mod}+zpo)
Calibration factor (CF)	0.991 ± 0.008
kdblur index	4.22 ± 1.45
$R_{\rm in}(\frac{GM}{c^2})$	3.999 ± 0.491
Inclination(deg)	30
Photon index Γ	1.52 ± 0.082
Fe/Solar	0.469 ± 0.095
Reflionx Xi (erg cm s ⁻¹)	2308.32 ± 658.28
χ^2/dof	1436/1404
Flux (erg cm ^{-2} s ^{-1})	6.676×10^{-12}
$L (\text{erg s}^{-1})$	1.15×10^{43}
Model	constant*wabs*(comptt+zpo) disc approximation
Calibration factor (CF)	0.993 ± 0.008
T_0 (keV)	0.03
KT_e (keV)	0.632 ± 0.232
Optical depth τ_p	8.72 ± 1.63
Photon index Γ	1.52 ± 0.10
χ^2/dof	1435/1413
Flux (erg cm ^{-2} s ^{-1})	6.83×10^{-12}
$L (\text{erg s}^{-1})$	1.18×10^{43}

Timing Analysis

Cross-Correlation Analysis
Nonlinear Timing Analysis

Cross-Correlation Analysis

Soft band: 0.3-1keV Hard band: 1-10keV

Time lag estimate using JAVELIN code

Estimation of corona size from time lag

• If $T_{lag} \approx 1000$ s is the time it takes the soft photons from the cold corona to reach the hot corona where they are comptonised to higher energies, the separation d between the two coronae system can be roughly estimated to be:

http://www.isdc.unige.ch/~ricci

d = c x ≈ 3 x 10¹³ cm = 20R_g

• This indicates that the coronae system is very compact and outside this region, the disc emission will be dominated plausibly by Optical/UV photons.

Nonlinear Timing Analysis

A search for signatures of Chaos

Vectors of dimension M are created from the time series $s(t_i)$ using a delay time τ such that:

 $x(t_i) = [s(t_i), s(t_i + \tau), s(t_i + 2\tau), ..., s(t_i + (M-1)\tau)]$

The correlation integral is given by

$$C_M(R) = \frac{1}{N(N_c-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j\neq i}^{N_c} H(R-|x_i-x_j|),$$

and the corresponding correlation dimension by

$$D_2 = \lim_{R \to 0} (\frac{d \log C_M(R)}{d \log R})$$

The plot of D₂ against M reveals the nonlinear dynamical properties of the system

Plots of D_2 as a function of M

Conclusion & Summary

The presence of weak soft excess emission has been observed below 1.0 kev

The thermal comptonization and relativistically blurred reflection models give the most acceptable explanations to the possible origin of the soft excess as supported by cross correlation analysis of the lightcurves in two energy bands.

From the observed positive lag between the soft and hard energy bands, we inferred that they are plausibly emitted from different regions extending only up to 20R_α

We have not found signatures of low dimensional chaos in both the optical and X-ray lightcurves of the source which may have important implications for the flow dynamics

Multi wavelength studies of the spectral and timing properties of such sources by ASTROSAT and self-consistent MHD simulations will put further constraints on the above models.

Thank you!!!

A search for signatures of Chaos

Typical plot of $log(C_M)$ vs log(R)

We use XMM-Newton and Kepler lightcurves for the nonlinear time series analysis

We apply the nonlinear time series analysis method involving the delay embedding technique (Grassberger & Procaccia 1983).

Vectors of dimension M are created from the time series $s(t_i)$ using a delay time τ such that:

 $x(t_i) = [s(t_i), s(t_i + \tau), s(t_i + 2\tau), ..., s(t_i + (M-1)\tau)]$

where M is the embedding dimension and τ is suitably chosen such that the vectors are not correlated