# FERMI-GBM: Brief history of Simulations and Calibrations (after >15 years!)

### Dr. Elisabetta Bissaldi\*

Associate Professor Member of the Fermi and CTA Consortium Affiliate member of the H.E.S.S. Collaboration Input from M. Kippen, A. Hoover, H. Steinle, A. von Kienlin, M. S. Briggs, etc... Thanks!!!



1st National Workshop on GEANT4 and its Application to High-Energy Physics and Astrophysics

\*Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica "M.Merlin" – Politecnico & INFN Bari elisabetta.bissaldi@ba.infn.it

### The Fermi mission

Launched 2008



### Large Area Telescope (LAT)

Pair conversion telescope 20 MeV → 300 GeV



Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) 14 Plastic scintillator detectors 8 keV - 40 MeV

# The (HE) gamma-ray sky

----

# Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs)

Long GRBs — Collapsar



Short GRBs – Merger

Credit: NASA/DOE/Fermi LAT Collaboration/GSFC

### The Fermi mission



### Large Area Telescope (LAT)

Pair conversion telescope 20 MeV → 300 GeV



Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) 14 Plastic scintillator detectors 8 keV - 40 MeV





### **GLAST** Observatory: quick overview







LAT Large Area Telescope

 Record gamma-rays in the energy range ~ 20 MeV - 300 GeV

GBM GLAST Burst Monitor

 Provide correlative observations of transient events in the energy range ~ 10 keV - 25 MeV

Burst localisation via count-rate comparison of different NaI-detectors (BATSE-principle)



## The Fermi–GBM instruments

GBM = 14 scintillation detectors

(12) Sodium Iodide (Nal) Scintillation Detectors



(2) Bismuth Germanate (BGO) Scintillation Detectors



E. Bissaldi







# Fermi-GBM Hardware Components



2 BGO detectors (MPE)

#### 12 NaI detectors (MPE)

Power box • provides regulated power to: - DPU and detectors - HV for the PMTs (MPE)

#### Data Processing Unit (DPU)

- digitizes detector inputs
- controls high and low voltage to detectors
- provides control and S/C interface (MSFC)







E. Bissaldi



### The Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor

 GBM single detectors calibration and tests campaigns (2004-2006) at the Max-Planck Institut for Extraterrestrial Physics (MPE, Germany)

E. Bissaldi







# The Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor

E. Bissaldi

 GBM full instrument calibration and tests campaigns (2006) at the Marshall Space Flight Center (Alabama, USA)







# The Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor



GBM integration onto the Fermi spacecraft (2007) at Phoenix, USA







Final phases in Spring 2008 at the Kennedy Space Center (Florida, USA)





INFN

E. Bissaldi



Preparation to launch in May 2008 at the Kennedy Space Center (Florida, USA)



- Gamma-ray Space Telescope
- Preparation to launch in May 2008 at the Kennedy Space Center (Florida, USA)









Credit: NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center/Cl Lab

NASA Goddard Media Studio https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/13094

### Fermi spacecraft orbit

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

- Circular «Low-Earth» orbit (LEO)
  - o 565 km altitude (96 min period), 25.6 deg inclination







E. Bissaldi

MOMI ACTRONAUT CROTE CAROO CUIR LAUNOU



# Fermi–GBM Nal(TI) Scintillators

- 12 Nal(TI) detectors:
  - Diameter: 12.7 cm (5")
  - Thickness: 1.27 cm (0.5")
  - Energy range: 10 keV 1 MeV



Giselher Lichti @MPE 2005











# Fermi–GBM Nal(TI) Scintillators





E. Bissaldi

### Fermi-GBM BGO Scintillators

- 2 BGO detectors:
  - Diameter: 12.7 cm (5")
  - Thickness: 12.7 cm (5")
  - Energy range:
    250 keV 40 MeV



BGO detector FM01 @MPE 2005

Andreas von Kienlin @MPE 2005





BGO

#### Breadboard crystals @MPE 2002





Gamma-ray Space Telescope

# Fermi-GBM BGO Scintillators



Bissaldi+2009



1<sup>st</sup> National Workshop on GEANT4 • 9 December 2022

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

### **GBM Simulation: Purpose**

The GBM simulation will characterize the instrument response to direct source photons, photons scattering from the spacecraft body, and photons scattering from the earth's atmosphere, for arbitrary source/earth geometry. GBM is a distributed system embedded in a complex environment, accurate simulation is the key to make GBM a useful instrument.



#### Hoover & Kippen 2004



### **GBM response to GRBs**





MPE

The simulation software must determine the response of the GBM detectors from several possible sources: 1) source photons which hit the detector directly and may scatter inside the detector itself, 2) source photons which scatter off the spacecraft before entering the detector, 3) source photons which scatter off the earth's atmosphere (and possibly the spacecraft also) before entering the detector. The simulation uses full-scale, detailed models of the NaI and BGO detectors, the spacecraft (currently in preparation), and the earth's atmosphere. When full-scale simulation production begins, we will simulate the detector, spacecraft, and atmospheric response for a range of energies and incident directions.





### Working Towards a GEANT4-based Solution

- *GRESS* = General Response Simulation System
- Original purpose: GLAST burst monitor detailed source response function generation
  - Prompt response only (no activation)
  - Extensive  $\gamma$ -ray validation library
    - Radioactive sources 6 keV to 4 MeV
    - Low-energy BESSY synchrotron data (2-20 keV)
    - High-energy van deGraf data (4, 6, 11 MeV)
    - Detailed angular/surface response scans
  - Full-spacecraft/instrument + separate Earth scattering simulations
  - Interface to analysis via NASA FITS files
- Future: Extend GRESS for more general HEA

#### use

- Choices of different data types (e.g., spectroscopy vs. tracking instruments) for each volume linked via GDML interfaces
- Space environment background models via ACTtools-like inputs (or other)
- Possibly include radioactive decay capability
- Further validation against space instrument data (e.g., GLAST on-orbit, COMPTEL, etc.)





5th GEANT4 Space User's Workshop, Tokyo, Feb. 13-15, 2008

- 12 -

# GRESS = General REsponse Simulation System



# The GBM Response Simulation System (GRESS)



Comprehensive collection of **computer models**, **simulation software**, **and data packaging tools**, including facilities for (1) physical simulation through a modified GEANT4 toolkit architecture, (2) custom instrumental effects simulators, (3) custom data packages, and (4) interfaces to GBM data processing/analysis software

- Because of vastly differing scale size, GRESS separates total GBM instrument response into 2 components:
  - "Direct" detector + spacecraft response
    - Captures physical and instrumental response of the detectors + spacecraft combined system
    - Incorporates a detailed mass model of the GLAST observatory, including the GBM detectors, the Large Area Telescope, and all in-flight spacecraft components
  - Atmospheric scattered response
- Unlike many earlier space-borne gamma-ray instrument response simulation efforts (e.g., BATSE2, COMPTEL, etc.), GRESS captures the combined response rather than separating detector simulations from passive spacecraft elements
  - GLAST spacecraft is highly non-uniform in its scattering properties and the GBM detectors are embedded in this nonuniformity
- Direct response as a function of photon energy and direction captured in a "Direct Response Matrix" (DRM) database, including results from individual simulation runs at an array of incident source directions



### **GBM Simulation: Specifications**

- Definition: Multi-purpose software suite that computes the physical and instrumental response of the GBM detectors
  - Primary purpose: generate detector response functions critical to analysis of flight science data
  - Other uses: instrument design, interpretation of calibrations, design of flight and ground analysis algorithms/software
- **\* Technique:** GEANT4 simulation
  - Verified through, and incorporating results from experimental calibration



#### 🖈 Major Components

- Mass model (geometry + composition)
- Incident particle distributions
- Radiation transport physics
- Instrumental/calibration effects
- DRM database
- DRM synthesizer/generator



#### Hoover & Kippen 2004

### **GBM Simulation: Architecture**

#### simulation package



- Integrated package that will encompass all GBM instrument response software and data needs
- Configuration controlled (e.g. -CVS) as a single deliverable package with component software/data modules
- All packages (and their dependencies) will use GNU compilers — mainly g++
- ★ All data files have headers with detailed job tracking data



#### Hoover & Kippen 2004

### GBM Simulation Design (1)

#### gbmsim

GBM instrument physical simulator

#### <u>Inputs</u>

- Instrument+environment mass model (custom GDML file format)
- Commands (interactive command line or command macro file[s])
- Auxilary data (spatial/spectral dists.)

#### <u>Outputs</u>

- Raw event file(s) (ROOT format)
- Interactive visualizations

#### **External Dependencies**

- GEANT4 General MC Rad. Transport package from CERN
- ROOT Data handling/analysis
  package from CERN
- XERCES portable c++ XML parser from Apache.org

#### calsim

instrumental/calibration effects simulator & data packager

#### <u>Inputs</u>

- Raw event files (root; from gbmsim or atmosim)
- Commands (interactive command line or command macro file[s])
- Calibration parameters file (ascii)

#### <u>Outputs</u>

• Processed data file(s) (FITS format) e.g., spectra, DRMs, etc.

#### **External Dependencies**

- ROOT Data handling/analysis package from CERN
- CCFits FITS data file I/O for c++ from NASA/GSFC



### GBM Simulation Design (2)

#### atmosim

atmospheric scattering simulator

#### <u>Inputs</u>

- Earth atmosphere mass model (internally coded)
- Commands (interactive command line or command macro file[s])

#### <u>Outputs</u>

- Event files (ROOT format)
- Interactive visualizations

#### **External Dependencies**

- GEANT4 General MC Rad. Transport package from CERN
- ROOT Data handling/analysis package from CERN
- CCFits FITS data file I/O for c++ from NASA/GSFC

**arpack** atmospheric scattering data packager

#### <u>Inputs</u>

- Event files (ROOT; from atmosim)
- Commands (interactive command line or command macro file[s])

#### <u>Outputs</u>

• Atmospheric response matrices (ARM; FITS format)

#### **External Dependencies**

- ROOT Data handling/analysis package from CERN
- CCFits FITS data file I/O for c++ from NASA/GSFC



#### Hoover & Kippen 2004
### **NaI Detectors**

- In general, the detail of the simulation mass model will be inversely proportional to the distance from the NaI and BGO detectors (NaI/BGO detectors and nearby spacecraft components will be modeled with high precision, internal workings of the LAT and distant spacecraft body with less precision)
- We await detailed drawings and materials specifications, in the meantime we are working with a simplified mass model



**GEANT4** Space Users Workshop

Los Alamos





## **Nal detectors**

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

 To generate a proper DRM, a high degree of precision and accuracy is required in the simulation model of these detectors, particularly around the Nal crystal and its interface to the photomultiplier tube.

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

1<sup>st</sup> National Workshop on GEANT4 • 9 December 2022

## **BGO Detectors**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **BGO detectors**

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

External view of the BGO detector simulation model.

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

Cut-away view of the BGO detector simulation model.

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Low Energy Compton Scattering

GEANT does not properly handle low-energy Compton scattering, where atomic binding effects are important and cause Doppler broadening

A GEANT extension called G4LECS (GEANT4 low energy Compton scattering), developed by R.M. Kippen, is used to correct for this deficiency.

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Example: NaI Spectrum**

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hoover & Kippen 2004

500

600

## GBM Simulation Design (3)

#### **drmgen** application-specific DRM generator

#### <u>Inputs</u>

- DRMdb/ARMdb databases (FITS; from calsim/atmosim)
- Commands (interactive command line, command macro file, or callable)

#### <u>Outputs</u>

• Application-specific DRM (FITS format or memory for callable mode) with or without atmospheric scattering

#### External Dependencies

- CCFits FITS data file I/O for c++ from NASA/GSFC
- CALDB/CalTools from NASA/GSFC

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Detector Response Matrix: Example

Example: development version (no atmospheric response), normal incidence, 100k events per 158 energies

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Atmosphere Model (1)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

A full scale earth+atmosphere model was created using concentric spherical shells for the atmosphere layers

NRLMSISE-00 (year 2000 release) atmosphere data is used for temperature, pressure, mass density, and element number density in each layer (http://uap-www.nrl.navy.mil/ models\_web/msis/msis\_home.htm)

Number and thickness of layers is arbitrary, easily changed

Capable of modeling 0-1000 km

A "plane wave" is incident upon the earth; the direction and energy of scattered photons is recorded when they cross a "collection surface" surrounding the model at the spacecraft altitude.

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Atmosphere Model (2)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Atmosphere Model (3)

The atmosphere is composed of 7 elements, with varying number density according to altitude

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Atmosphere Model (4)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

Nashville,TN

May 10-12

Los Alamos

**GEANT4 Space Users Workshop** 

#### Phased Software/Model Development

## Some Remarks on GEANT

#### Strengths

- Flexibility of GEANT4 lets one tailor the application for their specific needs
- GEANT4 can simulate on the scale of nanometers (good for instrument models) or kilometers (good for planetary models)
- Data output format is entirely up to the user
- One can select only the physics processes that are needed, ignore the rest

#### Concerns

- Speed we must simulate many energies for many source positions with low detection efficiency
- We have observed infinite loops for at least two geometries (volumes sharing a boundary, cylinder inside a sphere). We are worried about infinite loops appearing in the final geometry, which will be much more complex
- Low-energy Compton scattering External packages? Penelope? Why not fix G4LowEnergyCompton?
- GDML: long term support? Compatibility with XERCES (currently it works with XERCES 2.4.0 but with error messages)
- Reluctance of G4 team to fix geometry/tracking errors

![](_page_49_Picture_12.jpeg)

## **Development Schedule**

- Development version of simulation code is well underway, using simplified models for the NaI/BGO detectors
- Next few months... we expect detailed drawings and material composition information for NaI/BGO assemblies. This will be translated to G4 geometry models, followed by verification with calibration data
- \* 2005... we will receive drawings/material information for the spacecraft. Then we create G4 geometry for spacecraft + detectors, also verified with calibration data
- $\star$  2006... incorporate in-flight detector configuration into the simulation
- $\star$  2006... final DRM/CALDB databases

 $\bigstar$  2007... GLAST launch

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

**FIGURE 1.** *Left:* Mass models of the GLAST observatory used for GBM instrument response simulations. *Right:* Preliminary GBM "direct" response as a function of sky direction for a single NaI (top) and a single BGO (bottom) detector at specific energies (LAT pointing axis is at latitude =  $90^{\circ}$ ). The full DRM database will capture this information for all energies and angles.

# MC-Code Validation – Detector-Level Calibration

- Purpose of Calibration:
  - Performance verification of
    - Energy calibration
    - Energy resolution
    - Effective area
  - Provide accurate data
    - Comparison with simulated detector response data
    - Scientific analysis

![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

Gamma-ray

![](_page_52_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_14.jpeg)

# **On-ground calibration measurements**

# Gamma-ray Space Telescope

#### 1. MPE (2005)

 Calibrated radioactive sources (14 keV - 4.43 MeV) in the laboratory

#### 2. BESSY synchrotron radiation

facility (Berlin, 2005)

Low-energy accelerator (10 – 60 keV)

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

### 3. SLAC (USA, 2006)

E. Bissaldi

High-energy Van-de-Graaff
 accelerator (6 – 18 MeV)

![](_page_53_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Model of MPE calibration setup full environment

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Including
  - Complete detailed models of Nal+BGO detectors with spacecraft mounting brackets
  - Complex holding structure, enabling rotation of detectors around all 3 axes during the calibration, included a wooden stand raising it about one meter above the laboratory floor,

E. Bissaldi

![](_page_54_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_6.jpeg)

For simulations, the room is illuminated isotropically with the appropriate photon energy spectrum for each radioisotope. Tracks for 100 events are shown at left.

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

## γ-ray lines for calibration

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

NaI:

Line #	Line Energies	Nuclide
for Calib.	[keV]	
1	14.41	Co-57
2	22.1	Cd-109
3	25	Cd-109
4	32.06	Cs-137
5	36.6	Cs-137
6	59.4	Am-241
7	88.03	Cd-109
8	122.06	Co-57
9	136.47	Co-57
10	279.2	Hg-203
11	511	Na-22
12	661.66	Cs-137
13	834.84	Mn-54
14	898.04	Y-88

#### **BGO:**

		-
Line #	Line Energies	Nuclide
for Calib.	[keV]	
1	124.59	Co-57
2	279.2	Hg-203
3	511	Na-22
4	661.66	Cs-137
5	834.84	Mn-54
6	898.04	Y-88
7	1173.23	Co-60
8	1274.54	Na-22
9	1332.49	Co-60
10	1836.06	Y-88
11	4430	Am/Be

- For NaI detectors: 14 lines from 8 radioactive nuclides
- For BGO detectors: 11 lines from 8 radioactive nuclides

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $\gamma$ -ray lines for calibration of the NaI detector

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

Nuclida	Half life	Decarr	Line energies	Transition	Weighted Mean		Line for	
ruenue	man-me	Decay	[keV]	Probability	[keV]	Prob.	Calibration of	
<sup>55</sup> Fe	1001.0 (23) d / 2.741 (6) y	Mn-SumK	5.96	0.283 (10)			NaI(T1)	
		Np-La1	13.95	0.1193 (11)			-	
		Np-Lβ	17.54	0.1861 (15)			-	
<sup>241</sup> Am	432.2 (7) y	Np-Ly	21.01	0.0482 (4)				
		γ	26.34	0.02400 (20)			-	
		γ	59.4	0.359 (4)			NaI(TI)	
		Ag-SumKa	22.1	0.836 (6)	22.01	1.014	NaI(TI)	
<sup>109</sup> Cd	462.1 (14) d	Ag-SumKβ	25	0.1777 (19)	22.01	1.014	NaI(TI)	
		γ	\$8.03	0.03626 (20)			NaI(TI)	
		Fe-SumK	6.48	0.579 (8)			NaI(T1)	
1700	271 92 (9) 4	γ	14.41	0.0916 (15)			NaI(TI)	
0	271.05 (8) u	γ	122.06	0.8560 (17)	124.50	0.963	NaI(TI)/BGO	
		γ	136.47	0.1068 (8)	124.39		NaI(TI)/BGO	
		T1-L	11.1	0.060 (12)			NaI(T1)	
<sup>203</sup> Hg	46.604 (17) d	T1-SumKα	72.11	0.102 (3)			NaI(T1)	
	1 - 27	γ	279.2	0.8146 (13)			NaI(T1)/BGO	
		Ba-SumKa	32.06	0.0553 (10)	32.89	0.069	NaI(TI)	
<sup>137</sup> Cs	11000 (90) d / 30.13 (24) y	Ba-SumK <sub>β</sub>	36.6	0.01321 (27)			NaI(TI)	
		Ba-137m	661.66	0.8500 (20)			NaI(T1)/BGO	
343 (m	212 15 (9) 4	Cr-SumK	5.47	0.258 (4)			NaI(T1)	
IVIII	512.15 (8) d	γ	834.84	0.999750 (12)			NaI(T1)/BGO	
60 C a	10253(4) d/52712(11) v	γ	1173.23	0.9985 (3)			BGO	
0	1925.5 (4) d7 5.2712(11) y	γ	1332.49	0.999826 (6)			BGO	
22Na	950 5 (4) d	Annih.	511	1.798			NaI(T1)/BGO	
INd.	950.5 (4) u	γ	1274.54	0.9994			BGO	
24NIa	0 62328 (23) 4 /14 959(6) h	γ	1368.63	0.999932 (7)			BGO	
INd	0.02520 (25) 0/14.555(0) 1	γ	2754.01	0.99871 (8)			BGO	
**v	106.630 (25) d	Sr-SumKa	14.14	0.522 (6)	14.39 0.616	0.616	NaI(TI)	
		Sr-SumK <sub>β</sub>	15.8	0.094 (2)		0.010	Nat(11)	
1		γ	898.04	0.940 (3)			NaI(TI)/BGO	
		γ	1836.06	0.9933 (3)			BGO	
<sup>241</sup> Am/Be	432.2 (7) y	У	4430	0.00004			BGO	

E. Bissaldi

#### MPE Gamma-Group Retreat October 11, 2007

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $\gamma$ -ray lines for calibration of the BGO detector

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

Nuclida	Half life	Decer	Line energies	Transition	Weight	ed Mean	Line for
Nuchde	Hall-life	Decay	[keV]	Probability	[keV]	Prob.	Calibration of
<sup>55</sup> Fe	1001.0 (23) d / 2.741 (6) y	Mn-SumK	5.96	0.283 (10)			NaI(T1)
		Np-La1	13.95	0.1193 (11)			-
		Np-Lβ	17.54	0.1861 (15)			-
<sup>241</sup> Am	432.2 (7) y	Np-Lγ	21.01	0.0482 (4)			-
		γ	26.34	0.02400 (20)			-
		γ	59.4	0.359 (4)			NaI(T1)
		Ag-SumKa	22.1	0.836 (6)	22.61	1.014	NaI(T1)
<sup>109</sup> Cd	462.1 (14) d	Ag-SumKβ	25	0.1777 (19)	22.01	1.014	NaI(T1)
		γ	88.03	0.03626 (20)			NaI(T1)
		Fe-SumK	6.48	0.579 (8)			NaI(T1)
57 C a	271 92 (9) 4	γ	14.41	0.0916 (15)			NaI(T1)
0	271.85 (8) 0	γ	122.06	0.8560 (17)	124.50	0.062	NaI(T1)/BGO
		γ	136.47	0.1068 (8)	124.39	0.903	NaI(T1)/BGO
		Ti-L	11.1	0.060 (12)			NaI(T1)
<sup>203</sup> Hg	46.604 (17) d	T1-SumKα	72.11	0.102 (3)			NaI(T1)
	2 - 27	γ	279.2	0.8146 (13)			NaI(T1)/BGO
		Ba-SumKa	32.06	0.0553 (10)	32.80	0.060	NaI(T1)
<sup>137</sup> Cs	11000 (90) d / 30.13 (24) y	Ba-SumKβ	36.6	0.01321 (27)	32.09	0.009	NaI(T1)
		Ba-137m	661.66	0.8500 (20)			NaI(T1)/BGO
343 (m	212.15 (0) 4	Cr-SumK	5.47	0.258 (4)			NaI(T1)
wiii	512.15 (8) d	γ	834.84	0.999750 (12)			NaI(T1)/BGO
60C0	10253(4) d/52712(11) v	γ	1173.23	0.9985 (3)			BGO
0	1925.5 (4) d7 5.2712(11) y	γ	1332.49	0.999826 (6)			BGO
22Na	950 5 (4) d	Annih.	511	1.798			NaI(T1)/BGO
INa	950:5 (4) u	γ	1274.54	0.9994			BGO
24Na	0.62328 (23) d /14 959(6) h	γ	1368.63	0.999932 (7)			BGO
INd	0.02520 (25) 0.14.555(0) 1	γ	2754.01	0.99871 (8)			BGO
		Sr-SumKa	14.14	0.522 (6)	14.30	0.616	NaI(TI)
<sup>ss</sup> v	106 630 (25) 4	Sr-SumK <sub>β</sub>	15.8	0.094 (2)	14.55		Trai(11)
	100.050 (25) u	γ	898.04	0.940 (3)			NaI(TI)/BGO
		γ	1836.06	0.9933 (3)			BGO
<sup>241</sup> Am/Be	432.2 (7) y	у	4430	0.00004			BGO

E. Bissaldi

#### MPE Gamma-Group Retreat October 11, 2007

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Radioactive sources @ MPE**

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

**<u>1. MPE Laboratory (2005)</u>** Calibrated radioactive sources (14 keV - 4.43 MeV)

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

Nuclide	(1)	(2)	(2) (3) Line Energies		(5) Calibration Line		
<ul> <li>Providence and the second secon</li></ul>	Half-life	Decay	(keV)	Probability (%)	NaI	BGO	
<sup>55</sup> Fe	2.741(6) y	Mn-SumK	5.96	0.283 (10)	-	-	
		Np-La1	13.95	0.1193 (11)			
100000		Np-Lβ	17.54	0.1861 (15)			
<sup>241</sup> Am	432.2(7) y	Np-Ly	21.01	0.0482 (4)	_	-	
		γ	26.34	0.02400 (20)			
		γ	59.4	0.359 (4)	6		
		$Ag-SumK\alpha$	22.1 22.61	0.836 (6) 1 014	2		
<sup>109</sup> Cd	462.1(14) d	Ag-SumK $\beta$	25	0.1777 (19)	3	-	
		γ	88.03	0.03626 (20)	7		
		Fe-SumK	6.48	0.579 (8)	-	_	
57 Co	271.83(8) d	Fe-SumK	14.41	0.0916 (15)	1	1990 F	
	2/1.85(8) d	γ	122.06 124.59	0.8560 (17) 0.963	8	1	
		γ	136.47	0.1068 (8)	9		
	46.604(17) d	Tl-L	11.1	0.060 (12)	-	-	
<sup>203</sup> Hg		Tl-SumK $\alpha$	72.11	0.102 (3)			
		γ	279.2	0.8146 (13)	10	2	
000	30.13(24) y	Ba-SumK $\alpha$	32.06 32.89	0.0553 (10) 0.069	4		
<sup>137</sup> Cs		Ba-SumK $\beta$	36.6	0.01321 (27)	5		
		Ba-137m	661.66	0.8500 (20)	12	4	
54Mn	312 15(8) d	Cr-SumK	5.47	0.258 (4)	-	-	
MI	512.15(0) 0	γ	834.84	0.999750 (12)	13	5	
60 C a	5 2712(11) #	γ	1173.23	0.9985 (3)	-	7	
Co	5.2/12(11) y	γ	1332.49	0.999826 (6)		9	
22 22	050 5(4) 4	Annih.	511	1.798	11	3	
Na	950.5(4) d	γ	1274.54	0.9994	-	8	
$^{40}$ K	1.277(8)E9 y	γ	1460.83	0.1067	-	S3	
<sup>88</sup> Y		Sr-SumKa	14.14 14.30	0.522 (6) 0.616	~	~	
	106.630(25) d	106.630(25) d	$Sr-SumK\beta$	15.8	0.094 (2)	-	5
		γ	898.04	0.940 (3)	14	6	
		γ	1836.06	0.9933 (3)	-	10	
<sup>232</sup> Th	1.405(6)E10 y	$^{208}$ T1 ( $\gamma$ )	2614.53	0.3564	-	S5	
<sup>241</sup> Am/ <sup>9</sup> Be	432.2 (7) d	γ	4430	0.00004	-	11	

MPE

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **BESSY and SLAC**

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

- 2. BESSY synchrotron radiation facility (Berlin, 2005)
  - Low-energy accelerator (10 – 60 keV)

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

- **3.** SLAC (USA, 2006)
  - High-energy Van-de-Graaff accelerator (6 – 18 MeV)

![](_page_60_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_9.jpeg)

Beam Energy keV	Line Center Channel #	Beam Energy keV	Line Center Channel #
10	$57.52 \pm 0.23$	33	$173.95 \pm 0.04$
12	$69.20 \pm 0.07$	34	$172.69 \pm 0.11$
14	$79.94 \pm 0.06$	35	$179.93 \pm 0.07$
16	$91.05 \pm 0.05$	36	$185.60 \pm 0.07$
18	$101.35 \pm 0.05$	37	$191.41 \pm 0.05$
20	$112.34 \pm 0.04$	38	$196.95 \pm 0.07$
28.5	$153.84 \pm 0.08$	40	$208.00 \pm 0.06$
30	$160.42 \pm 0.04$	50	259.56 ± 0.06
31	$164.50 \pm 0.04$	60	$307.83 \pm 0.07$
32	$169.31 \pm 0.04$		

Nuclide	Line Energy (keV)	Line Number (EQM @ MPE)	Line Number (EQM @ SLAC)	FM01	FM02
57Co	124.59	1	-		√
<sup>203</sup> Hg	279.2	2	-	V	$\checkmark$
<sup>22</sup> Na	511	3	S1	√	√
<sup>137</sup> Cs	661.66	4	-	$\checkmark$	√
<sup>54</sup> Mn	834.84	5	-	V	√
<sup>88</sup> Y	898.04	6	-	√	√
<sup>60</sup> Co	1173.23	7	-		
<sup>22</sup> Na	1274.54	8	S2	V	√
<sup>40</sup> K	1460	-	\$3		
<sup>60</sup> Co	1332.49	9	-		
<sup>88</sup> Y	1836.06	10	-	V	√
<sup>208</sup> Tl (SE)	2199	-	S4		
<sup>208</sup> Tl	2600	-	\$5		
AmBe (SE)	3929	-	\$6		
AmBe	4430	11	\$7		√
16O (SE)	5619	-	S8		
<sup>16</sup> O	6130	-	S9		
SE 8Be (DE)	13564	-	S10		
<sup>8</sup> Be (SE)	14075	-	S11		
<sup>8</sup> Be	14586	-	S12		
<sup>8</sup> Be (SE)	17108	-	S13		
<sup>8</sup> Be	17619	-	S14		

MPE

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

E. Bissaldi

INFN Seminar Trieste • December 12, 2007

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Example of detailed line analysis**

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Response of NaI(TI)**

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Nonlinearities appear at energies corresponding to the K- and Ledges in Iodine:
  - Photoelectrons ejected by incident gamma rays just above the K energy have very little kinetic energy so that the response drops. Just below this energy, however, K-shell ionization is not possible and L-shell ionization takes place. Since the binding energy is lower, the photoelectrons ejected at this point are more energetic which causes a rise in the response.

# Fermi-GBM detector characteristics

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Fermi-GBM Response Functions

escape peak

E. Bissaldi

#### Nal(TI) spectra measured with monochromatic synchrotron radiation Ο

![](_page_64_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Fermi-GBM detector characteristics

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Fermi-GBM detector characteristics

![](_page_66_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_3.jpeg)

E. Bissaldi

**Comparative pulse height spectra** measured for BGO and Nal(TI) scintillators of equal size (7.6 x 7.6 cm) for gamma rays from <sup>24</sup>Na

![](_page_66_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Fermi-GBM Response Functions

 Full energy peak analysis

> Gaussian fits with 3 parameters

- Area
- Center
- FWHM

Important:
 background
 subtraction

from the continuum

![](_page_67_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_11.jpeg)

1<sup>st</sup> National Workshop on GEANT4 • 9 December 2022

#### **Nal validation results**

Comparisons of measured and simulated data using the complete laboratory model are shown below for the Nal detector. Simulated data is normalized to the measurement livetime. The measured energy dependent resolution is applied to the simulated energy deposit in the Nal crystal to reproduce the detector resolution. In general, the simulation results reproduce the measured data quite well. Illuminating the room isotropically is critical for reproducing the backscatter peaks. **There are a few discrepancies to be understood, such as apparent missing low energy lines in the simulation for**<sup>241</sup>**Am and**<sup>109</sup>**Cd, and a slight offset in the profile of the Compton edge.** 

![](_page_68_Figure_2.jpeg)

Hoover+2007

#### **BGO validation results**

BGO validation results are shown below. As with the Nal results, the measured detector resolution was applied to the simulated data. The BGO detectors are intended to operate in the ~150 keV to 30 MeV range. Shown here are low energy results from laboratory calibration sources. Higher energy calibrations are performed at an accelerator facility and do not lend themselves to straightforward validation simulations.

![](_page_69_Figure_2.jpeg)

Hoover+2007

![](_page_70_Picture_0.jpeg)

# MC validation @ γ-lines

![](_page_70_Picture_2.jpeg)

## NaI FM04

![](_page_70_Figure_4.jpeg)

MPE

![](_page_71_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Simulation validation**

![](_page_71_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Figure_3.jpeg)

MPE


# **Simulation validation**





MPE

# **NaI Channel-Energy relation**





sermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope



## **Code/Model Validation: SC Source Survey**

### Experiment

- + Two sources, 12 orientations around SC
- + <sup>137</sup>Cs (662 & 32 keV) & <sup>60</sup>Co (1.17 & 1.33 MeV)
- + Custom lead source collimator
- + Flight electronics (redundant DPU board)
- + Calibration just completed

### **Simulations**

- + Separate collimator & spacecraft/room simulation
- + Model being iterated









Simulations & Instrument Response M. Kippen / LANL

## **Source Collimator Model**



The source collimator is show above. The purple and red regions are made of lead. The blue is the aluminum outer shell. The green is a <u>stainless steel</u> source holder. The source is attached to the front of the <u>stainless steel</u> piece which is at the entrance of a 30 degree by 30 degree opening. The size is about 6" x 6" x 6" and weights about 60 lbs.



The image above shows 3000 simulated gamma-rays inside the collimator. As one can see it does a pretty good job but some gamma's will get out through he collimator. A simulations was done using about 250 million primary gamma-ray. The output energy and angles were recorded and used to model a source with similar energy and angular distribution but only throwing those gammas that escape.





The output from the source model is shown above. Approximately 9 million gamma-ray per second are emitted. The modeled source is <u>binned</u> into 16 phi distributions based on theta and 64 different energy distribution based on the theta and phi.



In order to understand to effect the spacecraft mass has on the detector response simulations using the source model were done with the full spacecraft as well as without but with detector in the proper location. Above shows the two configuration simulated. The left is the spacecraft in its flight configurations, the right image is only the GBM detectors. Wallace+2007

Below is the energy spectrum for the BGO detectors with and without the spacecraft on both sides.



Wallace+2007

# GBM Detector Response:



Kippen+2009

# **GBM** Detector Response:



Kippen+2009



# **GBM performance: Energy calibration**

Low-energy (NaI) range



performed up to 18 MeV

NaI(TI) nonlinearities at the K-edge energy (33.17 keV) studied with radioactive sources + BESSY measurements



→ Bissaldi et al. 2009, Exp. Astr. 24

# Fermi-GBM detector Energy calibration



## **CHANNEL-ENERGY RELATION (CALIBRATION)**





# Fermi-GBM detector Energy Resolution





Gamma-ray Space Telescope



## **GBM performance: Effective area**





## **NaI** • Off axis effective area







# **BGO** • Off axis effective area





E. Bissaldi

### MPE Gamma-Group Retreat October 11, 2007

# Fermi-GBM detector Uniformity



## NAI(TL) SCINTILLATOR CRYSTAL UNIFORMITY





### 1<sup>st</sup> National Workshop on GEANT4 • 9 December 2022

# Fermi-GBM detector Background



## Nal(TI):

- 511 keV line from positron annihilation in the atmosphere and nearby materials → Used for AGC
  - 2 lines from excited <sup>127</sup>I energy levels of (57.6 and 202.9 keV)
  - Passive materials in front the detectors limit the response of the detectors significantly at ~8-20 keV (low energy drop)

## BGO:

- 2.2 MeV line due to neutron capture in the large amount of H contained in the hydrazine tanks of the spacecraft
  - $\rightarrow$  Used for AGC

E. Bissaldi

 1.46 MeV line is due to <sup>40</sup>K from the potassium contained in the glass in the PMTs



"Overflow channels"

Nal: ~1MeV BGO: ~45 MeV

# Fermi-GBM detector Background



## Bacground rates: Temporal plots over 1 day (86400 s)



 Times of zero rate due to turning off the PMTs during South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) passages

Meegan+2009

• High rates near the SAA boundaries

E. Bissaldi

- Effect of activation by the SAA more pronounced in BGO detectors
- Nal rates are shown for the primary trigger energy range of 50–300 keV
  - Average ~320 cps, very little variation among the 12 detectors

# 9. The GBM catalogs!

Bissald









# BREAKTHROUGH #1: GRB+GW DETECTION (2017)

Fermi Reported 16 seconds after detection



LIGO-Virgo

**INTEGRAL** 

Reported 66 minutes

after detection

Reported 27 minutes after detection





Time from merger (seconds)



Credit: NASA GSFC & Caltech/MIT/LIGO Lab https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-Yt5EmEgz2w

# BREAKTHROUGH #2: GRB DETECTIONS @VHE

- Announcements on 20 Nov. 2019 1.H.E.S.S. observation of GRB 180720B 2.MAGIC observation of **GRB 190114C**
- Announcement on 4 June 2021 3. H.E.S.S. observation of GRB 190829A





### nature

#### Article Published: 20 November 2019

### A very-high-energy component deep in the y-ray burst afterglow

#### H. Abdalla, R. Adam, [...] O. J. Roberts

Nature 575, 464-467(2019) Cite this article 3478 Accesses 382 Altmetric Metrics



### Abstract

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are brief flashes of y-rays and are considered to be the most energetic explosive phenomena in the Universe<sup>1</sup>. The emission from GRBs comprises a short (typically tens of seconds) and bright prompt emission, followed by a much longer afterglow phase. During the afterglow phase, the shocked outflow-produced by the interaction between the ejected matter and the circumburst mediumslows down, and a gradual decrease in brightness is observed<sup>2</sup>. GRBs typically emit most of their energy via y-rays with energies in the kiloelectronvolt-to-megaelectronvolt range, but a few photons wit

#### nature DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1750-

### Article Published: 20 November 2019

### **Teraelectronvolt emission from** the y-ray burst GRB 190114C

### MAGIC Collaboration

Nature 575, 455-458(2019) Cite this article 4230 Accesses 493 Altmetric Metrics

### Abstract

z = 0.4245

Long-duration y-ray bursts (GRBs) are the most luminous sources of electromagnetic radiation known in the Universe. They arise from outflows of plasma with velocities near the speed of light that are ejected by newly formed neutron stars or black holes (of stellar mass) at cosmological distances<sup>1,2</sup>. Prompt flashes of megaelectronvolt-energy y-rays are followed by a longer-

### Science

### Revealing x-ray and gamma ray temporal and spectral similarities in the GRB 190829A afterglow

LESS Collaboration<sup>†,\*</sup> H Abdalla<sup>1</sup> E Abaronian<sup>2,3,4</sup> E Ait Benkhali<sup>3</sup> E O Anginer<sup>5</sup> C Arcaro<sup>6</sup> C Armand<sup>7</sup> T Armstr See all authors and affiliations

nce 04 Jun 2021 372, Issue 6546, pp. 1081-1085 10.1126/science abe856

z = 0.0785

Figures & Data Info & Metrics eLetters

### Abstract

Article

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), which are bright flashes of gamma rays from extragalactic so followed by fading afterglow emission, are associated with stellar core collapse events. report the detection of very-high-energy (VHE) gamma rays from the afterglow of GRB 190829A, between 4 and 56 hours after the trigger, using the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.). The low luminosity and redshift of GRB 190829A reduce both internal a ption, allowing determination of its intrinsic energy spectrum. Between energy tera-electron volts, this spectrum is described by a power law with photon index of 2.07 ± 0.09, similar to the x-ray spectrum. The x-ray and VHE gamma-ray light curves also show similar decay profiles. These similar characteristics in the x-ray and gamma-ray bands challenge GRB afterglow emission scenarios

E. Bissaldi – Monitoring the High-energy Sky with Small Satellites – Brno – 6 September 2022

GRB 201216C

MAGIC

detection



ExoArchive

HORIZONS

eclipsing, accreting millisecond pulsar Swift J1749 4-2807 finds a 1-





## https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/



### Data

- Data Policy
- Data Access
  - + LAT Data
  - + LAT Catalog
  - + LAT Data Queries
  - + LAT Query Results
  - + LAT Weekly Files
  - + GBM Data
- Data Analysis
- Caveats
- Newsletters
- ► FAQ

## **Currently Available Data Products**

The Fermi data released to the scientific community is governed by the data policy. The released instrument data for the GBM, along with LAT source lists, can be accessed through the Browse interface specific to Fermi. LAT photon data can be accessed through the LAT data server.

The FITS files can also be downloaded from the Fermi FTP site. The file version number is the 'xx' in the characters before the extension in each filename; you should keep track of the version numbers of files you analyze since the instrument teams may update them.

Note that the LAT and GBM data are accompanied by caveats about their use.

- LAT Photon and Extended Data
  - LAT Data Server (updated with P8R3 data 26-Nov-2018)
  - LAT Low-Energy (LLE) Data (Browse table)
  - Products available on the FTP Site (current processing version of the data).
    - Weekly Photon Files
    - Weekly Spacecraft Files
    - Mission Long Spacecraft File
    - Weekly 1-second Spacecraft Files
    - Filtered Weekly Photon Files with Diffuse Response Columns
  - Previous processing versions available on the FTP site
    - Pass 8 (P8R2) Weekly Files
    - Pass 7 (V6d) Weekly Files
    - Pass 7 (V6) Weekly Files
    - Pass 6 (V11) Weekly Files
    - Pass 6 (V3) Weekly Files

## FERMI'S GAMMA-RAY COSMOS

### ©NASA/Fermi/Sonoma State University/A. Simonnet



# High-energy astroparticle physics group Bari @2022 (UniBA, PoliBA, INFN)



# Thank you!

elisabetta.bissaldi@poliba.it