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## Introduction

- It is expected that at high enough temperatures hadrons melt into quark-gluon plasma (QGP).

- These conditions existed in the early universe. Recently heavy ion collision experiments are able to reach such extreme condition.


## Introduction




- Theoretical studies in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) show that the melting proceeds via a transition known as confinement-deconfinement (CD) transition. ${ }^{1}$
- The CD transition is present in all $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})[\mathrm{N} \geq 2$ ] gauge theories like QCD and Electroweak theory.
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## Introduction

- In pure $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})$ gauge theories the CD transition is described by order parameter, the average of Polyakov loop $(\langle L\rangle)$ and the $Z_{N}$ symmetry. Order of the transition depends on $N$.
- In QCD, at ' $\mu=0$ ' the presence of dynamical quarks (in the fundamental representation) break this symmetry explicitly. The CD transition is a cross-over for realistic quark masses.
- In Electroweak theory, the presence of Higgs and other matter fields break this symmetry explicitly.
- The dependence of this explicit symmetry breaking on the bare parameters of the theory is not completely understood.


## Introduction

- In QCD the explicit symmetry breaking grows with decrease in the quark mass. However this dependence of explicit symmetry breaking on $m_{q}$ does not explain physics in the chiral limit.
- In SU(N) Higgs theory there are very few non-perturbative studies on explicit breaking of $Z_{N}$ symmetry. And also it is important to understand the similarities (differences) between bosonic and fermionic matter as to how they affect the $Z_{N}$ symmetry.
- So we study $Z_{N}$ symmetry in $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{N})$ Higgs theory by Monte Carlo simulations of the CD transition.
- Our main result is that the explicit symmetry breaking is vanishingly small in part of the Higgs symmetric phase. Preliminary results indicate that the explicit symmetry breaking vanishes in the entire Higgs symmetric phase.


## $Z_{N}$ symmetry

- Partition function of a pure $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{N})$ gauge theory at high temperature ( $T=\frac{1}{\beta}$ ) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{Z}=\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H}=\int d A\langle A| e^{-\beta H}|A\rangle=\int_{\mathbf{b c}} D A e^{-S(A)}  \tag{1}\\
& S(A)=\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau \int_{V} d^{3} x\left\{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

- Where $F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}+i g\left[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}\right]$
- The allowed A's in the path integral are periodic in $\beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu}(\vec{x}, 0)=A_{\mu}(\vec{x}, \beta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Contd...

- $S(A)$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ are invariant under the gauge transformation $V(\vec{x}, \tau), A_{\mu}$ transforms

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu} \longrightarrow V A_{\mu} V^{-1}-\frac{i}{g}\left(\partial_{\mu} V\right) V^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $V(\vec{x}, \tau)$ need not be periodic, as long as it satisfies the following eqn.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\vec{x}, \tau=0)=z V(\vec{x}, \tau=\beta) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $z \in Z_{N}$, with $z=\mathbb{1} \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i n}{N}\right), n=0,1,2 \ldots N-1$,

- Therefore, all the allowed gauge transformations at finite temperature are classified by $Z_{N}$ group.
- $Z_{N}$ is a symmetry of $\mathcal{Z}$.


## Contd...

- The Polyakov loop transforms nontrivially under $Z_{N}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{x}})=\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{P e^{\left(-i g \int_{0}^{\beta} A_{0}(\vec{x}, \tau) d \tau\right)}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under $Z_{N}, L \longrightarrow z L$.



- $\langle L\rangle$ is an order parameter for CD transition and it is analogous to the magnetization in a $Z(N)$ spin system.


## $Z_{N}$ symmetry (with matter fields)

- The action in presence of fundamental Higgs field is given by,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S[A, \Phi]=\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau \int_{V} d^{3} x\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left|D_{\mu} \Phi\right|^{2}\right.
\end{array}+\frac{m^{2}}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi .
$$

- Being a bosonic field, $\Phi(\vec{x}, 0)=\Phi(\vec{x}, \beta)$. Under above non-periodic gauge transformations, $\Phi^{\prime}(0) \neq \Phi^{\prime}(\beta)$ (when $z \neq \mathbb{1}$ ).
- It is not clear how this $Z_{N}$ explicit breaking will affect the CD transition. Fluctuations of the gauge and Higgs fields need to be considered.


## Monte Carlo simulations of the CD transition

- For simulations we discretise the action on a 4-D Euclidean space on a $N_{\tau} \times N_{s}^{3}$ lattice,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S[A, \Phi]=\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau \int_{V} d^{3} x\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left|D_{\mu} \Phi\right|^{2}+\frac{m^{2}}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right. \\
+\frac{\lambda_{0}}{4!}\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

$$
x=n a, \Phi(x) \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} \Phi_{n}}{a}, \lambda_{0} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^{2}}, m^{2} \rightarrow \frac{(1-2 \lambda-8 \kappa)}{\kappa a^{2}}
$$

$$
S[U, \Phi]=\beta_{g} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(2-U_{p}-U_{p}^{\dagger}\right)-\kappa \sum_{\mu, n} \operatorname{Re}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi_{n+\mu}^{\dagger} U_{n, \mu} \Phi_{n}\right)\right]
$$

$$
+\sum_{n}\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi_{n}^{\dagger} \Phi_{n}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi_{n}^{\dagger} \Phi_{n}\right)-1\right)^{2}\right]
$$

## Monte Carlo simulations of the CD transition

- The discretised action is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
S[U, \Phi]=\beta_{g} \sum_{p} & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(2-U_{p}-U_{p}^{\dagger}\right)-\kappa \sum_{\mu, n} \operatorname{Re}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi_{n+\mu}^{\dagger} U_{n, \mu} \Phi_{n}\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{n}\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi_{n}^{\dagger} \Phi_{n}\right)+\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi_{n}^{\dagger} \Phi_{n}\right)-1\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

- Here $\beta_{g}=\frac{2 N}{g^{2}}$, link $U_{n, \mu}=e^{i a g A_{n, \mu}} . \Phi_{n}$ is the field at site $n$.

$$
U_{p}=U_{n, \mu} \stackrel{\circ}{n+\mu, \nu} U_{n+\nu, \mu}^{\dagger} U_{n, \nu}^{\dagger} .
$$

- To study the $Z_{N}$ symmetry, we compute the distribution of the Polyakov loop and other properties using Monte Carlo simulations ${ }^{2}$,
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## Results



- In this Higgs phase diagram, the Higgs symmetric $(\langle\Phi\rangle=0)$ and broken phase $(\langle\Phi\rangle \neq 0)$ are separated by the Higgs transition line.
- We compute the Polyakov loop distribution at various points on this phase diagram to study the $Z_{N}$ symmetry.
- Since the CD transition behaviour has been observed to be sensitive to $N_{\tau}$, we consider larger $N_{\tau}$ for some values of the bare parameters.

Polyakov loop distribution
(close to Higgs transition line)

(Away from Higgs transition line)



Figure: $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3)$

## SU(N) Higgs theory $N_{\tau}$ dependence




- In Higgs symmetric phase, $\langle\Phi\rangle=0$ and in Higgs broken phase $\langle\Phi\rangle \neq 0$. Red line separates these two phases.
- Below green line $Z_{N}$ symmetry exists and above the line $Z_{N}$ symmetry explicitly broken. The $Z_{N}$ symmetry breaking line will approach Higgs transition line for Higher $N_{\tau}$.


## Contd..



- $Z_{N}$ symmetry explicit breaking decrease with decrease in $\kappa$.
- On the other hand, Higgs condensate decreases with decrease in $\kappa$.
- Therefore, we believe that the Higgs condensate plays the role of symmetry breaking field like external field in the Ising model.


## $Z_{2}$ symmetry for different $N_{\tau}$

- To study whether explicit symmetry breaking depends on $N_{\tau}$, we consider $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ Higgs with $\lambda=0$ and $m a=0$.

- $H(L, L>0)$ and $H(-L, L<0)$ are converging with increase in $N_{\tau}$. For $N_{\tau}=8$ they are same.


## Realization of $Z_{2}$ symmetry restoration.

- Under $Z_{2}, A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$. But $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^{\prime}=V \Phi$ is not considered as $\Phi^{\prime}$ is not periodic, so $S(A, \Phi) \neq S\left(A^{\prime}, \Phi\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(A, \Phi)=S(A)+S(\Phi)+S_{\mathrm{I}}(A, \Phi) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- One can ask a question, if any $\Phi^{\prime}$ exists for a given $\Phi$ which can compensate for the increase in the action.
- It seems with increase in $N_{\tau}$ a $\Phi^{\prime}$ becomes available so that $S(A, \Phi)=S\left(A^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right)$ giving rise to restoration of $Z_{2}$ symmetry.
- We just don't know yet how $\Phi^{\prime}$ and $\Phi$ are related for a given $Z_{2}\left(Z_{N}\right)$ action on $A$.


## Summary.

- Our results suggest that the Higgs condensate plays a role of symmetry breaking field like external field in the Ising model.
- We believe increase in phase space of the Higgs field is responsible for $Z_{N}$ restoration.
- It is expected that the explicit symmetry breaking is large for perturbative calculation incase of massless bosons, But surprisingly our simulation results show that the symmetry is restored.
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