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## Low lying hadron spectrum

Ground states from lattice QCD : fully controlled systematics


Dürr, et. al. Science 21 Vol. 322 no. 5905 pp.
1224-1227
'Gold-plated' channels: studies at physical point


Dowdall, et al., PRD, 86, 094510, 2012

## Isospin spliting



- Fully controlled ab initio calculation
- $1+1+1+1$ flavor QCD + QED with clover improved Wilson quarks.
- Accuracy of low energy description is down to per mil level.
- Coleman-Glashow relation : $\Delta_{C G}=\Delta M_{N}-\Delta M_{\Sigma}+\Delta M_{\equiv}$.

Borsanyi, et al., Science, 347, 1452-1455, 2015

## Established $\bar{c} c$ hadrons



## Low lying charmonium spectra from LQCD



## Low lying charmonium spectra from LQCD



## 'Non-precision' spectrum to be explored


S. L. Olsen, (arXiv : 1511.01589v1[hep-ex])

## The XYZ's

TABLE 10: Quarkonium-like states at the open flavor thresholds. For charged states, the $C$-parity is given for the neutral members of the corresponding isotriplets.

| Stata | M Mov | Г MaV ${ }_{1}{ }^{\text {PC }}$ | Dracoce (modo) | Exporimont (tha) | $\xrightarrow{\text { Voon Statur }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X(3872) | $3871.68 \pm 0.17$ | $<1.21^{++}$ | $B \rightarrow K\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} J / \psi\right)$ | Belle $[810,1030](>10)$, BaBar $[1031](8.6)$CDF $[1032,[1033](11.6)$, D0 $[1034](5.2)$LHCb $[1035,[1036](\mathrm{np})$Belle $[1037](4.3)$, BaBar $[1038](4.0)$Belle [1039] (5.5), BaBar $[1040](3.5)$LHCb [1041] (> 10)BaBar $[1040](3.6)$, Belle $[1039](0.2)$LHCb [1041 (4.4)Belle [1042] (6.4), BaBar [1043] (4.9) | 2003 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $p \bar{p} \rightarrow\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} J / \psi\right)$. |  | 2003 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $p p \rightarrow\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} J / \psi\right) \ldots$ |  | 2012 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $B \rightarrow K\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} J / \psi\right)$ |  | 2005 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $B \rightarrow K(\gamma J / \psi)$ |  | 2005 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $B \rightarrow K(\gamma \psi(2 S))$ |  | 2008 | $\mathrm{NC}!$ |
|  |  |  | $B \rightarrow K\left(D \bar{D}^{*}\right)$ |  | 2006 | Ok |
| $Z_{c}(3885)^{+}$ | $3883.9 \pm 4.5$ | $25 \pm 121^{+-}$ | $Y(4260) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(D D^{*}\right)^{+}$ | BES III [1044] (np) | 2013 | NC! |
| $Z_{c}(3900)^{+}$ | $3891.2 \pm 3.3$ | $40 \pm 8 \quad ?^{?-}$ | $Y(4260) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(\pi^{+} J / \psi\right)$ | BES III [1045] (8), Belle [1046] (5.2) T. Xiao et al. [CLEO data] [1047] ( $>5$ ) | $2013 \mathrm{Ok}$ |  |
| $Z_{c}(4020)^{+}$ | $4022.9 \pm 2.8$ | $7.9 \pm 3.7 ?^{?}-$ | $Y(4260,4360) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(\pi^{+} h_{c}\right)$ | BES III [1048] (8.9) | 2013 | NC! |
| $Z_{c}(4025)^{+}$ | $4026.3 \pm 4.5$ | $24.8 \pm 9.5 ?^{?}$ ? | $Y(4260) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(D^{*} \bar{D}^{*}\right)^{+}$ | BES III [1049] (10) | 2013 | NC! |
| $Z_{b}(10610)^{+}$ | $10607.2 \pm 2.0$ | $18.4 \pm 2.41^{+-}$ | $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi(\pi \Upsilon(1, S, 2 S, 3 S))$ | Belle [1050-1052] (>10) | 2011 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(\pi^{+} h_{b}(1 P, 2 P)\right)$ | Belle [1051] (16) | 2011 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(B \bar{B}^{*}\right)^{+}$ | Belle [1053] (8) | 2012 | NC! |
| $Z_{b}(10650)^{+}$ | $10652.2 \pm 1.5$ | $11.5 \pm 2.21^{+-}$ | $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(\pi^{+} \Upsilon(1 S, 2 S, 3 S)\right)$ | Belle [1050, 105] ( $>10$ ) | 2011 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(\pi^{+} h_{b}(1 P, 2 P)\right)$ | Belle [105] (16) | 2011 | Ok |
|  |  |  | $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi^{-}\left(B^{*} \bar{B}^{*}\right)^{+}$ | Belle [1053] (6.8) | 2012 | NC ! |

N. Brambilla, et al., arXiv:1404.3723v2

## The XYZ's

N. Brambilla, et al., arXiv:1404.3723v2

TABLE 12: Quarkonium-like states above the corresponding open flavor thresholds. For charged states, the $C$-parity is given for the neutral members of the corresponding isotriplets.

| State | M, MeV | ᄃ, MeV | $J^{P C}$ | Process (mode) | Experiment (\# ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y(3915) | $3918.4 \pm 1.9$ | $20 \pm 5$ | 0/2 $2^{\text {? }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \rightarrow K(\omega J / \psi) \\ & e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}(\omega J / \psi) \end{aligned}$ | Belle [0888 (8), BaBar (1038, [1089) (19) Belle [1090 (7.7), Baßar [1091] (7.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Ok |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ok |
|  | $30972+26$ | $24+6$ | $2++$ | $\stackrel{+0^{-} \rightarrow 0_{0}-(D \bar{D}}{ }$ | Rollo [ा092 (5.3) BaBar mmex ( 58 8) | 2005 | Ok |
| $X$ (3940) | $3942_{-8}^{+9}$ | $37_{-17}^{+27}$ | $?^{?+}$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow J / \psi\left(D \bar{D}^{+}\right)$ | Belle [1056, [1087] (6) | 2005 | NO! |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (4000) } \\ & \psi(4040) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5091 \pm 42 \\ & 4039 \pm 1 \end{aligned}$ | $80 \pm 10$ |  |  | Bent [1uses IIU94] (i.4)PDG [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 1978 \end{aligned}$ | Ok |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & Z(4050)^{+} \\ & Y(4140) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4051_{-43}^{+24} \\ & 4145.8 \pm 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82+55 \\ & 18 \pm 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & p^{?+} \\ & p^{?}+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\left(\pi^{+} \chi(1)\right. \\ & B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+}(\phi J / \psi) \end{aligned}$ | Belle [1090 (5.0), BaBar 1097 (1.1) CDF [10080ㅇ (5.0), Belle [11099 (1.9), LHCb [1001] (1.4), CMS [101] ( $>5$ ) D0 1102 (3.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NCl} \\ & \mathrm{NCl} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\psi(4160)$ | $4153 \pm 3$ | $103 \pm 8$ | $1{ }^{-}$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow\left(D^{(\cdot)} D^{(\cdot)}\right)$ | PDG []Belle [1095] (6.5) | 1978 | Ok |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2013 | NO! |
| $X(4160)$ | $\begin{gathered} 4156+29 \\ { }_{-2}^{+29} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $139_{-65}^{+113}$ | $?^{?+}$ |  | Belle [1087] (5.5) | 2007 | NCl |
| ${ }^{7}$ (42000) | $\xrightarrow[4248+165]{405^{+16}}$ |  | ??+ | $\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\left(\pi^{+} \chi_{c 1}\right)$ | Belle [1090\% (5.0), BaBar [1097] (2.0) | 2008 | NC! |
| $\boldsymbol{Y}$ (4260) | $4250 \pm 9$ | $108 \pm 12$ | $1^{-}$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow(\pi \pi, J / \psi)$ |  | 2005 | Ok |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow\left(f_{0}(980) J / \psi\right)$ | Belle 1046, 1094 (15), BES III 1045 (np) BaBar 1105 (np), Belle [1046 (np) | 20122013 | Ok |
|  |  |  |  | $e^{+e^{-}} \rightarrow\left(\pi^{-} Z_{C}(3900)^{+}\right)$ | BES III 11045 (8), Bolle [1046 (5.2) |  | Ok <br> NCl |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ 2012 \end{array}$ |  |
| $Y(4274)$ | $4293 \pm 20$ | $35 \pm 16$ | $p^{\text {? }+}$ | $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+}(\phi J / \psi)$ | CDF [1098] (3.1), LHCb [1100] (1.0), | 2011 | $\mathrm{NC!}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13_{-10}^{+18} \\ & 78 \pm 16 \end{aligned}$ | $0 / 2^{?+}$$1^{--}$ | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}(\phi J / \psi)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | NClOk |
| $\begin{aligned} & X(4350) \\ & Y(4360) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4350.6_{-5.1}^{+4.6} \\ & 4354 \pm 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \psi(2 S)\right)$ | of Graz, Austria. (11 of 51) |  |  |
|  | XYZ from lattice QCD |  | M. Padmanath |  |  | $2007$ |  |

## Experimental facts : $\mathrm{X}(3872)$

- first observed in Belle 2003 (Belle PRL 2003) D0 @ TIFR and Belle @ TIFR.
- Quantum numbers, $J^{P C}=1^{++}$: (LHCb, 2013)
- Appears within 1 MeV below $D^{0} \bar{D}^{* 0}$ threshold.

- Preferred strong decay modes $D^{0} \bar{D}^{* 0}, J / \psi \omega$ and $J / \psi \rho$
- The isospin still uncertain
* nearly equal branching fraction to $J / \psi \omega$ and $J / \psi \rho$ decays.
* No charge partner candidates observed.


## Experimental facts : $\mathrm{Y}(4140)$

- first observed in $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} \phi J / \psi$
decays (CDF : PRL 102, 242002)
- Quantum numbers, $J^{P C}=1^{++}$: (LHCb, 2016 [QWG2016])
- CMS confirmed the observation of the peak
(Chatrchyan, et al., PLB 734, 261).
- Results from BaBar have much less statistical significance (Lees, et al., 91, 012003).
- Appears $\sim 30 \mathrm{MeV}$ above $D_{s} \bar{D}_{s}^{*}$ threshold.

- Preferred strong decay mode $J / \psi \phi$.

Not observed in $D^{0} \bar{D}^{* 0}$ or $J / \psi \omega$.

## The charmonium spectra I



## The charmonium spectra I


L. Liu, et al., JHEP 2012

## The charmonium spectra I



## The charmonium spectra II

- Charmonia well below open-charm threshold: "straightforward" on lattice
- Above open charm threshold :

All physical states with given $J^{P C}$ can appear as $E_{n}$. Single meson states, two-meson states, etc.

- Necessitates the inclusion of multi-hadron operators
- $\mathcal{O}=\bar{Q} \Gamma Q$,
$\left(\bar{Q} \Gamma_{1} q\right)_{1_{c}}\left(\bar{q} \Gamma_{2} Q\right)_{1_{c}}$,
$\left(\bar{Q} \Gamma_{1} Q\right)_{1_{c}}\left(\bar{q} \Gamma_{2} q\right)_{1_{c}}$,
$\left[\bar{Q} \Gamma_{1} \bar{q}\right]_{d_{c}}\left[Q \Gamma_{2} q\right]_{d_{c}}$.
- Wick contractions

- Wick contractions with disconnected charm lines are assumed to be negligible : OZI rule


## Take home message

- Dynamical study of $1^{++}$channel with diquark-antidiquark operators.
- $I=0$ : The low lying spectrum remains unaffected with tetraquark operators.
- A candidate for $X(3872)$ found below the lattice $\bar{D}^{*} D$ non-interacting level.
- Tetraquark operators are found to have very little effect on this candidate.
- $I=1$ : All energy levels identified with various scattering levels. No additional candidates for $\mathrm{X}(3872)$ charge partner observed.
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## QCD spectrum from Lattice QCD

- Aim : to extract the physical states of QCD.
- Euclidean two point current-current correlation functions

$$
C_{j i}\left(t_{f}-t_{i}\right)=\langle 0| O_{j}\left(t_{f}\right) \bar{O}_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)|0\rangle=\sum_{n} \frac{z_{i}^{n *} z_{j}^{n}}{2 m_{n}} e^{-m_{n}\left(t_{f}-t_{i}\right)}
$$

where $O_{j}\left(t_{f}\right)$ and $\bar{O}_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)$ are the desired interpolating operators and $Z_{j}^{n}=\langle 0| O_{j}|n\rangle$.

- Effective mass defined as $\log \left[\frac{C(t)}{C(t+1)}\right]$
- Excited states appear as sub-leading exponentials

- The ground states : from the exponential fall off at large times. Non-linear fitting techniques.
- Multi-exponential fit : Numerically unstable


## Interpolating operators

- Need interpolating operators that create states with desired quantum numbers
$\rightarrow$ Example operators for $J^{P C}=1^{++}: O_{i}^{j}=\bar{q} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{i} q, \bar{q} \overleftarrow{\Delta} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{i} \vec{\Delta} q$
- In practice many different constructions possible.
- All those operators with correct quantum numbers should be OK : Overlaps $\left(Z_{j}^{n}\right)$ ?
- With multiple interpolators $\rightarrow$ a tower of states
- Cost of computation of correlation matrices $\left(C_{i j}\right)$ very large.
- Particularly with non-local operators as well as disconnected diagrams.


## Local and extended operators: "Distillation"



Meson two point correlators using local source operators


Meson two point correlators using extended source operators

## Local and extended operators: "Distillation"

- Idea: Quark smearing using low modes of the 3D lattice Laplacian $\left(\xi_{x}^{(k)}(t)\right)$
- Smearing operator defined by

$$
\square_{x y}(t)=V_{x z}(t) V_{z y}^{\dagger}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \xi_{x}^{(k)}(t) \xi_{y}^{(k) \dagger}(t)
$$

- Advantages :
* all-to-all propagators
* correlation matrix for large basis of interpolators
* momentum projection at source and sink
- Disadvantages : expensive; unfavorable volume scaling
- Stochastic approach improves the scaling.
M. Peardon et al., PRD 80, 054506, 2009


## Local and extended operators: "Distillation"



Courtesy (plots) : Abhijit

## Local and extended operators: "Distillation"

- Consider an isovector meson two-point function:

$$
C_{M}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)=\left\langle\bar{u}\left(t_{1}\right) \quad \Gamma_{t_{1}} \quad d\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{d}\left(t_{0}\right) \quad \Gamma_{t_{0}} \quad u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle
$$

## Local and extended operators: "Distillation"

- Consider an isovector meson two-point function:

$$
C_{M}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)=\left\langle\bar{u}\left(t_{1}\right) \square_{t_{1}} \Gamma_{t_{1}} \square_{t_{1}} d\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{d}\left(t_{0}\right) \square_{t_{0}} \Gamma_{t_{0}} \square_{t_{0}} u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle
$$

Integrating over the quark fields one gets

$$
C_{M}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{(\sigma, s, c)}\left(\square_{t_{1}} \Gamma_{t_{1}} \square_{t_{1}} M^{-1}\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right) \square_{t_{0}} \Gamma_{t_{0}} \square_{t_{0}} M^{-1}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Substituting the definition of $\square$ and redefining the quantities, the trace reduces to a smaller space.

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{M}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{(\sigma, \mathcal{D})}\left(\phi\left(t_{1}\right) \tau\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right) \phi\left(t_{0}\right) \tau\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)\right) \\
\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{a b} \text { and } \tau_{\alpha \beta}^{a b} \text { are }\left(4 N_{\mathcal{D}}\right) \times\left(4 N_{\mathcal{D}}\right) \text { matrices. } \\
\phi(t)=V^{\dagger}(t) \Gamma_{t} V(t) \text { and } \tau\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=V^{\dagger}(t) M^{-1}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) V\left(t^{\prime}\right) \\
\text { (perambulator) }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Generalized eigenvalue problem

Solving the generalized eigenvalue problem for $C_{i j}(t)$.

$$
C_{i j}(t) v_{j}^{(n)}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=\lambda^{(n)}\left(t, t_{0}\right) C_{i j}\left(t_{0}\right) v_{j}^{(n)}\left(t, t_{0}\right)
$$

Solve for several $t_{0}$ 's.
Choice of $t_{0}$ 's crucial $\Rightarrow$ Determine quality of extractions.

- Principal correlators given by eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(t, t_{0}\right) \propto \exp ^{-E_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\exp ^{-\Delta E_{n}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right)\right)
$$

Extraction of a tower of states.

- Eigenvectors related to the overlap factors

$$
Z_{i}^{(n)}=\langle 0| \mathcal{O}_{i}|n\rangle=\sqrt{2 E_{n}} \exp ^{E_{n} t_{0} / 2} v_{j}^{(n) \dagger} C_{j i}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

C. Michael, Nucl. Phys. B 259, 58, (1985)
M. Lüscher and U. Wolff, Nucl. Phys. B 339, 222 (1990)

## Resonant scattering

- Most hadrons are resonances under the strong interaction
- Width and the branching fractions often known poorly
- Experimental data is analyzed with a partial wave analysis
- Elastic scattering : amplitudes $T_{l}$ and phase shifts $\delta_{l}$ :

$$
T_{I}=\sin \left(\delta_{l}\right) e^{i \delta_{l}}=\frac{e^{2 i \delta_{l}}-1}{2 i}
$$

- A bound state : $\cot \left[\delta_{l}\right]=i$
- An isolated narrow resonance peak : a relativistic Breit-Wigner shaped resonance

$$
T_{I}=\frac{-\sqrt{s} \Gamma(s)}{s-s_{R}+i \sqrt{s} \Gamma(s)}
$$

with the resonance position $s_{R}=m_{R}^{2}$ and decay width $\Gamma\left(s_{R}\right)$

## Discrete energy levels: Lüscher's formulae



## Discrete energy levels: Lüscher's formulae

- Energy levels represent states with the desired $J^{P C}$.
- Non-interacting two-meson levels are given by

$$
E(L)=\sqrt{m_{1}^{2}+\vec{p}_{1}^{2}}+\sqrt{m_{2}^{2}+\vec{p}_{2}^{2}}
$$

where $\vec{p}_{1,2}=\frac{2 \pi}{L}\left(n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right)$.

- Switching on the interaction makes $\vec{p}_{1,2} \neq \frac{2 \pi}{L}\left(n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right)$.

The interactions induce a phase shift in the momentum,

$$
\text { e.g. in } 1 \mathrm{D} \quad \vec{p}_{1,2}=\frac{2 \pi}{L} n+\frac{2}{L} \delta(k) \text {. }
$$

- Lüscher's formula relates these level shifts to the infinite volume phase shifts, $\delta_{l}(k)$.
- For S-wave,

$$
\tan \delta(p)=\frac{\pi^{3 / 2} q}{Z_{00}\left(1 ; q^{2}\right)} ; \quad Z_{00}\left(1 ; q^{2}\right)=\sum_{\vec{n} \in N^{3}} \frac{1}{\vec{n}^{2}-q^{2}} ; \quad q=\frac{L}{2 \pi} p
$$

## Discrete energy levels: Lüscher's formulae



- Resonance : Avoided level crossings
- Narrower the resonance, smaller the level shifts
- Lüscher's formulae relates these level shifts to the infinite volume phase shifts.


## Discrete energy levels: Lüscher's formulae




- Narrower the resonance, smaller the level shifts
- Lüscher's formulae relates these level shifts to the infinite volume phase shifts.


## $\rho$ resonance : an old benchmark calculation



Lang, Mohler, Prelovsek, Vidmar, PRD 2011

- Results from a calculation with $m_{\pi}=266(3)(3) \mathrm{MeV}$

$$
g_{\rho \pi \pi}=5.13(20) ; \quad m_{\rho}=792(7)(8) \mathrm{MeV}
$$

- $g_{\rho \pi \pi}$ coupling defined as

$$
\Gamma(s)=\frac{p^{* 3}}{s} g_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2}
$$
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## Interpolators

| N | $I=0$ | $I=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O_{1-8}^{\text {cc }}$ | $\overline{\bar{c}} \hat{\Gamma}$ c | does not couple |
| $\mathrm{O}_{9}^{\text {MTM }}$ | $D(0) \bar{D}^{*}(0)$ | $D(0) \bar{D}^{*}(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{10}^{\text {MM }}$ | $J / \psi(0) \omega(0)$ | $J / \psi(0) \rho(0)$ |
| $O_{11}^{\text {MM }}$ | $D(1) \bar{D}^{*}(-1)$ | $D(1) \bar{D}^{*}(-1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{12}^{\text {MM }}$ | $D(0) \bar{D}^{*}(0)$ | $D(0) \bar{D}^{*}(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{13}^{\text {MM }}$ | $J / \psi(0) \omega(0)$ | $J / \psi(0) \rho(0)$ |
| $O_{14}^{\text {M1M }}$ | $J / \psi(1) \omega(-1)$ | $J / \psi(1) \rho(-1)$ |
| $O_{15}^{\text {MM }}$ | $\eta_{c}(1) \sigma(-1)$ | $\eta_{c}(1) a_{0}(-1)$ |
| $O_{16}^{\text {M1M }}$ | $\chi_{c 1}(1) \eta(-1)$ | $\chi_{c 1}(1) \pi(-1)$ |
| $O_{17}^{M 1 M}$ | $\chi_{c 1}(0) \sigma(0)$ | $\chi_{c 1}(0) a_{0}(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{18}^{\text {MMM }}$ | $\chi_{c 0}(1) \eta(-1)$ | $\chi_{c 0}(1) \pi(-1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{19-20}^{4 q}$ | $[\bar{c} \bar{q}]_{3_{c}}[c q]_{\overline{3}_{c}}$ | $\left[\bar{c} \bar{u}_{3_{c}}[c d]_{\overline{3}_{c}}\right.$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{21-22}^{4 q}$ | $[\bar{c} \bar{q}]_{\overline{6}_{c}}[c q]_{6_{c}}$ | $[\bar{c} \bar{u}]_{\overline{6}_{c}}[c d]_{6_{c}}$ |

Two meson scattering levels $\lesssim 4.2 \mathrm{GeV}$

```
- \(\quad I=0\);
    \(D(0) \bar{D}^{*}(0), \quad J / \psi(0) \omega(0), D(1) \bar{D}^{*}(-1)\),
    \(J / \psi(1) \omega(-1), \quad \eta_{c}(1) \sigma(-1)\),
    \(\chi_{c 1}(0) \sigma(0)\).
- \(\quad I=1\);
    \(D(0) \bar{D}^{*}(0), \quad J / \psi(0) \rho(0), D(1) \bar{D}^{*}(-1)\),
    \(J / \psi(1) \rho(-1), \quad \chi_{c 1}(1) \pi(-1)\),
    \(\chi_{c 0}(1) \pi(-1)\).
```


## Lattice we use

| Lattice size | $N_{f}$ | $N_{\text {cfgs }}$ | $m_{\pi}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $a[\mathrm{fm}]$ | $L[\mathrm{fm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16^{3} \times 32$ | 2 | 280 | $266(3)(3)$ | $0.1239(13)$ | 1.98 |

## Hasenfratz et al. PRD 78054511 (2008) <br> Hasenfratz et al. PRD 78014515 (2008)

- dynamical u, d and valence u, d, s: clover Fermions
- Fermilab treatment for charm quarks.
- $m_{s}$ set using $[M(\phi)]_{\text {lat }}=[M(\phi)]_{\text {exp }}$.
- $m_{c}$ set using $\left[M_{2}\left(\eta_{c}\right)+3 M_{2}(J / \psi)\right]_{l a t}=\left[M_{2}\left(\eta_{c}\right)+3 M_{2}(J / \psi)\right]_{l a t}$.
- "Distilled" quark sources for all flavors.


## An X(3872) candidate from lattice




Lee, DeTar, Mohler, Na, arXiv:1411.1389

- Studies with two-meson operators : First hint for a candidate
- Both calculations neglects charm annihilation
- Observed only when both $\bar{c} c$ and $\bar{D}^{*} D$ are used.
- Vastly different systematics, yet results are similar.


## $I=0: \bar{c} c(\bar{u} u+\bar{d} d)$



- No significant effects in the low lying spectrum by the inclusion of diquark-antidiquark operators.
- $[\bar{c} \bar{u}]_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}[c u]_{\mathcal{G}}$ operators related to two-meson operators by Fierz relations.
- Makes the interpretation as a pure tetraquark unlikely.
- Simulation still unphysical in many ways. Sizable lattice artifacts.
- However, gives a qualitative picture.


## X(3872) candidate



- $O_{17}^{M M}: \chi_{c 1}(0) \sigma(0)$
- Without $\bar{c} c$ interpolators, signal doesn't appear.
- Both $\bar{c} c$ combinedly determine the position of the signal for the candidate.
- No significant effects on the levels identified as $J / \psi \omega$ or $\eta_{c}(1) \sigma(-1)$.


## X(3872) candidate



Lat. \& Lat. - $O^{4 q}$ : This work
[17]: Prelovsek and Leskovec, PRL 111, 192001
[18]: Lee, et al., arXiv:1411.1389

- $\delta$ for levels 2 and 5 using Lüscher's formulae :

$$
p \cdot \cot (\delta(p))=\frac{2 Z_{00}\left(1: q^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} L}
$$

- Phase shift near threshold interpolated using effective range approximation $p \cdot \cot (\delta(p))=\frac{1}{a_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} r_{0} p^{2}$.
- Large negative scattering length, $a_{0}=-1.7(4) f m$, agrees with a shallow bound state.
- Infinite volume bound state position from pole in the resulting scattering matrix.
- No significant effects from $O^{4 q}$.


## $I=1: \bar{c} c \bar{u} d$



- All levels identified with various scattering levels.
- No additional candidate observed.
- No charge partner for $\mathrm{X}(3872)$ observed.
- Simulation assumes $m_{u}=m_{d}$. Popular interpretations based on isospin breaking. Simulations with $m_{u} \neq m_{d}$ required for confirmation.


## $I=0: \bar{c} c \bar{s} s$



- All levels identified with various scattering levels.
- Candidates for $\chi_{c 1}$ and $X(3872)$ observed. No additional candidate observed.
- No effect observed with the inclusion of diquark-antidiquark operators.
- No candidate for $\mathrm{Y}(4140)$ in $1^{++}$.


## Fierz relations

- $[\bar{c} \bar{q}]_{\mathcal{G}}[c q]_{\mathcal{G}}$ and two-meson operators are linearly related.


$$
O^{4 q}(x)=\sum F_{i} M_{1}^{i}(x) M_{2}^{i}(x)
$$

- After appropriate Fierz rearrangement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O^{4 q}= {\left[\bar{c} C \gamma_{5} \bar{u}\right]_{\mathcal{G}}\left[c \gamma_{i} C u\right]_{\mathcal{G}}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\bar{c} C \gamma_{i} \bar{u}\right]_{\mathcal{G}}\left[c \gamma_{5} C u\right]_{\mathcal{G}} \\
=
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \mp \frac{(-1)^{i}}{2}\left\{\left(\bar{c} \gamma_{5} u\right)\left(\bar{u} \gamma_{i} c\right)-\left(\bar{c} \gamma_{i} u\right)\left(\bar{u} \gamma_{5} c\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\left.\left(\bar{c} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma_{5} u\right)\left(\bar{u} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{\nu} c\right)\right|_{i \neq \nu}-\left.\left(\bar{c} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{\nu} u\right)\left(\bar{u} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma_{5} c\right)\right|_{i \neq \nu}\right\} \\
&+ \frac{(-1)^{i}}{2}\left\{(\bar{c} c)\left(\bar{u} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{5} u\right)+\left(\bar{c} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{5} c\right)(\bar{u} u)\right. \\
&\left.-\left.\left(\bar{c} \gamma^{\nu} c\right)\left(\bar{u} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{5} u\right)\right|_{i \neq \nu}-\left.\left(\bar{c} \sigma^{\alpha \beta} c\right)\left(\bar{u} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{5} u\right)\right|_{i \neq(\alpha<\beta)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ could be $3_{c}$ or $6_{c}$.

- Any gauge-covariant quark smearing preserves this relation.
- Large N : S. Weinberg


## Outline

## (1) Introduction

(2) Methodology
(3) Results

4. Conclusions

## Conclusions

- Dynamical study of $1^{++}$channel with diquark-antidiquark operators looking for possible exotic candidates.
- Diquark-antidiquark operators are found to have negligible significant effects on the low lying spectrum (for all three channels).
- A candidate for $X(3872)$ found below the lattice $\bar{D}^{*} D$ non-interacting level.
- Amplitude analysis within elastic approximation for $\bar{D}^{*} D$ scattering; a bound state immediately below the $\bar{D}^{*} D$ threshold.
- No additional candidates observed hinting an exotic signal.
- Outlook: Rigorous calculations involving coupled channel effects.
- Outlook: Calculations on larger lattice volumes.
- Outlook: Simulations with $m_{u} \neq m_{d}$ for isospin breaking effects.


## H dibaryon

- Bound six quark system with $S=-2, I=0$, $J^{P}=0^{+}:$R. L. Jaffe, PRL 38, (1977) 195.
- K. Nakazawa et al., KEK-E176 \& E373 Collaboration Nagara Event, Mikage event, Demachiyanagi event, Hida event.
- C. J. Yoon et al., KEK-PS E522 Collaboration
- Plethora of theoretical studies, no conclusions yet.
- NPLQCD (PRL 2011) : B.E. $=16 \mathrm{MeV}$. HALQCD (PRL 2011) : B.E. $=30-40 \mathrm{MeV}$. Unphysical quark masses.
- Recent calculations at physical quark masses See Lattice 2016 talks by HALQCD.



## Technical details

- MILC lattices with $N_{f}=2+1+1$ dynamical HISQ fermions. Three ensembles: $24^{3}, 32^{3}$ and $48^{3}$.
- Physical volume $\sim 2.9 f m$.
- Overlap formulation, with wall sources, for valence quarks.
- Light quark masses as low as physical light quark masses.
- Tuned strange and charm quark masses.
- $\Lambda=s(u \Gamma d)$ and $O_{\Lambda-\Lambda}=\Lambda^{T} C \gamma_{5} \Lambda$.


## Very preliminary



N. Mathur, M. P. and S. Pavaskar

## Distillation on MILC lattices : preliminary

| $n^{2 s+1} \ell_{J}$ | $J^{P C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}=0 \\ c \bar{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I=0 \\ b \bar{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}=\frac{1}{2} \\ c \bar{u}, \bar{c} \bar{d} ; \bar{c} u, \bar{c} d \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}=0 \\ & \bar{c} ; \bar{c} s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1=\frac{1}{2} \\ b \bar{u}, b \bar{d} ; \bar{b} u, \bar{b} d \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I=0 \\ & b \bar{s} ; \bar{b} s \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}=0 \\ & b \bar{c} ; \bar{b} c \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{1} S_{0}$ | $0^{-+}$ | $\eta_{c}(1 S)$ | $\eta_{b}(1 S)$ | D | $D_{s}^{ \pm}$ | $B$ | $B_{s}^{0}$ | $B_{c}^{ \pm}$ |
| $1^{3} S_{1}$ | $1^{-}$ | $J / \psi(1 S)$ | $\Upsilon(1 S)$ | $D^{*}$ | $D_{s}^{* \pm}$ | $B^{*}$ | $B_{s}^{*}$ |  |
| $1^{1} P_{1}$ | $1^{+-}$ | $h_{c}(1 P)$ | $h_{b}(1 P)$ | $D_{1}(2420)$ | $D_{s 1}(2536)^{ \pm}$ | $B_{1}(5721)$ | $B_{s 1}(5830)^{0}$ |  |
| $1{ }^{3} P_{0}$ | $0^{++}$ | $\chi_{c 0}(1 P)$ | $\chi_{60}(1 P)$ | $D_{0}^{*}(2400)$ | $D_{s 0}^{*}(2317)^{ \pm \dagger}$ |  |  |  |
| $1{ }^{3} P_{1}$ | $1^{++}$ | $\chi_{c 1}(1 P)$ | $\chi_{61}(1 P)$ | $D_{1}(2430)$ | $D_{s 1}(2460)^{ \pm \dagger}$ |  |  |  |
| $1^{3} P_{2}$ | $2^{++}$ | $\chi_{\text {c2 }}(1 P)$ | $\chi_{b 2}(1 P)$ | $D_{2}^{*}(2460)$ | $D_{s 2}^{*}(2573)^{ \pm}$ | $B_{2}^{*}(5747)$ | $B_{s 2}^{*}(5840)^{0}$ |  |
| $1^{3} D_{1}$ | $1^{-}$ | $\psi(3770)$ |  |  | $D_{s 1}^{*}(2860)^{ \pm \ddagger}$ |  |  |  |
| $1{ }^{3} D_{3}$ | $3^{--}$ |  |  |  | $D_{s 3}^{*}(2860)^{ \pm}$ |  |  |  |
| $2^{1} S_{0}$ | $0^{-+}$ | $\eta_{c}(2 S)$ | $\eta_{b}(2 S)$ | $D(2550)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{3} S_{1}$ | $1^{--}$ | $\psi(2 S)$ | $\Upsilon(2 S)$ |  | $D_{s 1}^{*}(2700)^{ \pm \ddagger}$ |  | PDG |  |
| $2^{1} P_{1}$ | $1^{+-}$ |  | $h_{b}(2 P)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{3} P_{0,1,2}$ | $0^{+++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++}$ | $\chi_{c 0,2}(2 P)$ | $\chi_{b 0,1,2}(2 P)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{3} P_{0,1,2}$ | $0^{+++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++}$ |  | $\chi_{b}(3 P)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Distillation on MILC lattices : preliminary



## $\rho$ meson by HSC



